# Dreams and the Spatial Aesthetics of Narrative Presentation in *Livistros and Rhodamne*

PANAGIOTIS A. AGAPITOS

In memory of Ole L. Smith (1943–95) You only live twice, or so it seems: one life for yourself and one for your dreams.

-Leslie Bricusse

Critical interpretation is to a substantial degree the definition of the internal operative principles governing the composition of a text or an image. In cultures where rhetoric and mimesis play an overwhelming role in the production and reception of texts and images, the critic must unavoidably concentrate on matters concerning imagery, style, language, structure, and so on. This applies especially to longer, literary or visual, narrative compositions that arguably operate within the expectations of the reader or the viewer. Sustaining, rejecting, inverting, subverting, or even canceling the schemata of conventional composition in narrative are essential parts of the artist's game with his clientele, particularly in the opening sequences of a narrative, where the artist needs to impress and captivate his audience in order to keep them interested in his work. In this sense, the Komnenian novels and the Palaiologan romances are excellent cases for studying the narrative function of rhetorical patterns. More specifically, the analysis of space provides the opportunity to investigate how the narrative presents itself at its most visual moments and how it establishes its own aesthetic concepts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R. Ingarden, Das literarische Kunstwerk, 4th ed. (Tübingen, 1972), 25–196, and H.-G. Gadamer, Wahrheit und Methode: Grundzüge einer philosophischen Hermeneutik, 5th ed. (Tübingen, 1985), 107–74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See, for example, the fruitful comparative analysis of text and image in H. Maguire, *Art and Eloquence in Byzantium* (Princeton, N.J., 1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>On the interaction between the author and the reader as a governing principle, see W. Iser, *Der implizite Leser: Kommunikationsformen des Romans von Bunyan bis Beckett*, 2d ed. (Munich, 1979), and idem, *Der Akt des Lesens: Theorie ästhetischer Wirkung* (Munich, 1976). For an application of Iser's method to the ancient novels, see, indicatively, J. J. Winkler, *Auctor and Actor: A Narratological Reading of Apuleius'* The Golden Ass (Berkeley, Calif., 1984), and S. Bartsch, *Decoding the Ancient Novel: The Reader and the Role of Description in Heliodorus and Achilles Tatius* (Princeton, N.J., 1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See, for example, the intelligent analysis of Heliodoros by J. J. Winkler, "The Mendacity of Kalasiris and the Narrative Strategy of Heliodoros' *Aithiopika*," YCS 27 (1982): 93–158.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The terms *novel* and *romance* are used here in order to distinguish the 12th-century works, which feign a "bourgeois antique" setting, from the later texts, which are placed in a "contemporary aristocratic" environment.

The present article examines, from a structural and a spatial point of view, the opening dream sequence in *Livistros and Rhodamne*, a vernacular romance in approximately four thousand five hundred fifteen-syllable verses.<sup>6</sup> The poem was probably composed in the middle of the thirteenth century at the court of the Laskarid emperors in Nicaea.<sup>7</sup> Should this date be correct, *Livistros and Rhodamne* would be the earliest of the seven surviving late Byzantine love romances, and thus constitutes a bridge between the learned novels of the twelfth century and the other vernacular poems of the fourteenth century.

I

The beginning of *Livistros and Rhodamne* is governed by a peculiar structural innovation, which, to my knowledge, has so far remained unnoticed. The anonymous poet has combined two traditions of opening a novelistic narrative. It may be useful to start this analysis with a brief summary of the romance's opening sequences (N 1–560).<sup>8</sup>

I (N 1–24). Prince Klitovon invites Queen Myrtane and the court of the Armenian kingdom of Litavia to listen to a wondrous story about a man who was inexperienced in matters of the world, fell in love, and suffered thereafter. The reader, alerted through

<sup>6</sup>The romance (hereafter referred to as  $L \mathfrak{S}R$ ) survives in three different versions ( $\alpha$ , E, V), of which the oldest ( $\alpha$ ) is transmitted by three manuscripts (N, P, S). My analysis is based on version  $\alpha$ , which must have been circulating by the late 14th century, and which is closest to the lost original (on the whole question, see briefly P. A. Agapitos, "Libistros und Rhodamne: Vorläufiges zu einer kritischen Ausgabe der Version  $\alpha$ ,"  $J\ddot{O}B$  42 [1992]: 191–208). For a critical text of the dream sequence, see the Appendix. An English translation of the romance has been recently published, in *Three Medieval Greek Romances: Velthandros and Chrysantza*, *Kallimachos and Chrysorroi*, *Livistros and Rodamni*, trans. G. Betts, The Garland Library of Medieval Literature, ser. B, 98 (New York–London, 1995).

<sup>7</sup>The date and the place of composition of the romance have been a matter of great dispute. For an overview of the debate and the proposal to date the romance to the mid-13th century, see P. A. Agapitos, "Ή χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία τῶν μυθιστορημάτων Καλλίμαχος, Βέλθανδρος καὶ Λίβιστρος," in *Origini della letteratura neogreca*, ed. N. M. Panagiotakis, Βιβλιοθήκη τοῦ Ἑλληνικοῦ Ἰνστιτούτου Βυζαντινῶν καὶ Μεταβυζαντινῶν Σπουδῶν τῆς Βενετίας 15 (Venice, 1993), 2:197–234. See also the reluctant acceptance of this proposal by R. Beaton, *The Medieval Greek Romance*, 2d ed. (London–New York, 1996), 219–20. Be this as it may, at least, a definite *terminus ante quem* has now been furnished by M. Manoussacas, "Le terminus ante quem pour la composition du roman Libistros et Rhodamné," *JÖB* 44 (1994): 297–306, since Leonardos Dellaportas, who composed his poems between 1403 and 1411, quotes extensively from the romance; see also M. Manoussakas, Λεονάρδου Ντελλαπόρτα ποιήματα (1403/1411): Ἔκδοση κριτική, εἰσαγωγή, σχόλια καὶ εὑρετήρια (Athens, 1995), 74–82. On the basis of this evidence, a dating in the early 15th century, as proposed by D. Michailidis, "Νέες χρονολογήσεις μεσαιωνικῶν δημωδῶν κειμένων," in *Origini della letteratura neogreca*, ed. Panagiotakis (same as above), 2:148–55 (and supported by G. Kechagioglou, review of *Origini della letteratura neogreca*, *Hellenika* 44 [1994]: 530), is ruled out; see also the cautionary remarks by N. M. Panagiotakes, "The Italian Background of Early Cretan Literature," *DOP* 49 (1995): 282 n. 4.

\*Summaries of L&R are provided by H.-G. Beck, Geschichte der byzantinischen Volksliteratur, HAW 12.2.3 (Munich, 1971), 122–23, and Beaton, Medieval Greek Romance, 114–16. Unfortunately, both summaries are too brief and in some cases contain errors; see P. A. Agapitos and O. L. Smith, The Study of Medieval Greek Romance: A Reassessment of Recent Work, Opuscula graecolatina 33 (Copenhagen, 1992), 62. It should be pointed out here that, though Beaton has published a revised version of his study, only minor errors have been removed from the main text. Therefore, the methodological criticisms of Agapitos and Smith stand as before.

this signal, which evokes the tradition of Achilleus Tatios and Eustathios Makrembolites,<sup>9</sup> expects Klitovon to go on with his personal tale.<sup>10</sup>

II (N 25–100). The reader is immediately thwarted. Klitovon inserts an internal title to his story (N 25-26: Λοιπὸν καὶ τὴν ἀφήγησιν ἄρξομαι τῆς ἀγάπης | Λιβίστρου τοῦ πολυπαθοῦς καὶ κόρης τῆς Ροδάμνης)<sup>11</sup> and reveals himself as the romance's secondary male character. He then embarks on an *in medias res* narration, now picking up the tradition of Heliodoros and Theodore Prodromos.<sup>12</sup> Klitovon's narration runs as follows. He sees a young man wandering along a narrow path that crosses a beautiful meadow; the man is a warrior, crying and sighing in despair (N 27-43). Klitovon remarks that he also was chased away from his country because of a love affair.<sup>13</sup> He then tries to make friends with the warrior and prompts him to tell his story (N 44-67). After some hesitation, the stranger is convinced to tell Klitovon his sorrowful tale; they swear friendship to each other (N 68-91). The reader must accommodate himself to meet the new situation, expecting some introductory action that will now truly lead to the story of the secondary character, this being the conventional pattern in the older novels.<sup>14</sup> However, the author surprises the reader again by omitting any such action. The narrative changes direction (N 77-100), since the unknown warrior gives a brief overview of his present desperate situation (N 93-99) and then in his turn inserts an internal title to his story (N 100), thus introducing his ab ovo narration (N 100a ff).

All these narrative shifts occur within the first hundred verses of the romance.<sup>15</sup> By the time the young warrior has embarked on his story as a first-person narrator, the

<sup>9</sup>On the narrative frame in Tatios (dialogic setting between a first and a second narrator) and Makrembolites' subversive use of it (switch to an epistolary dialogue), see P. A. Agapitos, *Narrative Structure in the Byzantine Vernacular Romances: A Textual and Literary Study of Kallimachos*, Belthandros *and Libistros*, MiscByzMonac 34 (Munich, 1991), 131–32.

10 The fact that Klitovon is the main narrator is established by the two rubrics framing the romance's prologue (N 1–2: Στίχοι πολὺ ἐρωτικοί, ἀφήγησις Λιβίστρου, | πῶς ὁ φίλος Κλιτοβὼν διηγεῖται τῆς Μυρτάνης; and N 26a: Ἡρξατο τὴν ἀφήγησιν ὁ Κλιτοβὼν ὁ φίλος). This situation shows that the rubrics, contrary to recent opinion, were part of the original composition, whatever their present state of transmission; on this matter, see P. A. Agapitos and O. L. Smith, "Scribes and Manuscripts of Byzantine Vernacular Romances: Palaeographical Facts and Editorial Implications," *Hellenika* 44 (1994): 66–71. Their proposal has been accepted by C. Cupane, *Romanzi cavallerreschi bizantini* (Turin, 1995), who reintroduced in her text of the Naples *Achilleid* the rubrics omitted by D. C. Hesseling in his edition, *L'Achilleide byzantine* (Amsterdam, 1919).

<sup>11</sup>On this device, see Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 79.

<sup>12</sup>On the openings of Heliodoros, Prodromos, and Eugeneianos, see P. A. Agapitos, "Narrative, Rhetoric and Drama Rediscovered: Scholars and Poets in Byzantium Interpret Heliodorus," in *Studies in Heliodorus*, ed. R. Hunter, Cambridge Philological Society, suppl. 21 (Cambridge, 1998), 125–56.

<sup>13</sup>At a much later point in the romance (S 1467–1520), Klitovon will tell his own story. The reader will then discover that the young prince was in fact the lover of Myrtane, who was, however, married to a Persian nobleman. It was because of this adulterous relation that Klitovon finally had to flee from Litavia. This more "down-to-earth" relation between Klitovon and Myrtane is typical of the behavior of the secondary couples in the romances.

<sup>14</sup>In Heliodoros, Prodromos, and Eugeneianos, the opening sequences are structured through a schema of "introductory action—story of a secondary character—beginning of the main character's story."

<sup>15</sup>This is a further subversion of the conventional pattern in the older novels. In the *Aithiopika* it takes the reader quite some time until he understands at what point in the plot he actually is (Kalasiris's narration begins at 2.23, some 75 pages after the novel's famous opening scene). In the two Byzantine novels, the waiting time has been considerably reduced (25 pages in Eugeneianos and 16 pages in Prodromos), but a sense of suspense is still present.

reader has lost certainty about how the narrative will progress, while the poet has successfully blended the two novelistic traditions in order to present his "strangely made tale of love" (N 17: ξενοχάραγον ἀφήγημα ἀγάπης). The possibility that the poet of *Livistros and Rhodamne* had a reading familiarity with, or at least knew of, these two traditions represented by Tatios and Heliodoros and developed by the twelfth-century authors is not as far-fetched as it seems. Besides the quite obvious literary relations between *Livistros and Rhodamne* and the twelfth-century works,<sup>16</sup> it is worth noting that nine of the most important manuscripts preserving the ancient and the medieval novels were written in the thirteenth century,<sup>17</sup> and in two cases an ancient and a Byzantine novel were transmitted side by side.<sup>18</sup> Moreover, one of these manuscripts, the Laurentianus Conventi Soppressi 627, also preserves the surviving letters of the emperor Theodore II Laskaris (1254–58) and his teacher Nikephoros Blemmydes (1198–1269). The codex is thus related to the Nicaean court,<sup>19</sup> exactly the milieu where *Livistros and Rhodamne* was in all probability produced.<sup>20</sup>

III (N 100a–118). The young warrior reveals that he is Livistros, ruler of the Latin kingdom of Livandros (N 100a–103).<sup>21</sup> As a youth he did not know what love was (N 104–14) and, in fact, scorned anyone who admitted having fallen in love (N 115–18).<sup>22</sup>

IV (N 119–85). One day he goes with his companions on a hunting expedition (N 119–26); toward dusk he kills with his arrow a male turtledove, and its mate commits suicide by falling from the sky onto a rock (N 127–36). Livistros is profoundly shocked by this incident and asks a close relative of his for advice (N 137–39). In a complex speech, the Relative discloses to Livistros the power of Eros (N 140–77). Livistros returns to his abode with disturbed emotions (N 178–85).

<sup>16</sup>See Agapitos, "Χρονολογική ἀκολουθία," 101–17.

<sup>17</sup>Ven. Marc. gr. 409, early 13th century (Heliodoros, Tatios); Ven. Marc. gr. 410, first half of 13th century (Heliodoros); Ven. Marc. gr. 412, 13th century (Eugeneianos); Vat. gr. 114, 13th century (Makrembolites, Tatios); Vat. gr. 121, 13th century (Prodromos); Vat. Barb. gr. 29, 13th century (Makrembolites); Oxon. Baroc. 131, ca. 1250–80 (Makrembolites); Laur. conv. soppr. 627, ca. 1270–85 (Longos, Tatios, Chariton, Xenophon); Vat. gr. 1390, late 13th–early 14th century (Heliodoros, Makrembolites).

<sup>18</sup>For the Heliodoran and Tatian manuscripts, see briefly the respective introductions in *Héliodore: Les Éthiopiques (Théagène et Chariclée)*, ed. R. M. Rattenbury and T. W. Lumb (Paris, 1934–40), 1:xxiv–xlvii, and *Achilles Tatius: Leucippe and Clitophon*, ed. E. Vilborg, Studia Graeca et Latina Gothoburgensia 1 (Stockholm, 1955), xvii–xxxii. On the textual history of the three Komnenian novels in question, see M. T. Cottone, "La tradizione manoscritta del romanzo di Teodoro Prodromo," in *Miscellanea* (Padua, 1979), 2:9–34; A. C. Palau, "La tradition manuscrite d'Eustathe Makrembolitès," *Revue d'histoire des textes* 10 (1980): 75–112; F. Conca, "Per una nuova edizione critica del romanzo di Niceta Eugeniano: Collazione dei codici Vat. Urb. gr. 134 e Laur. Acquisti e Doni 341," in *Graeco-latina Mediolanensia*, Quaderni di Acme 5 (Milan, 1985), 161–205.

<sup>19</sup>On Laskarid manuscript production, see briefly N. G. Wilson, "Nicaean and Palaiologan Hands: Introduction to a Discussion," in *La paléographie grecque et byzantine*, Colloques internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 559 (Paris, 1977), 263–67.

<sup>20</sup>A similar connection seems to be reflected in the Oxon. Baroc. 131, on which see N. G. Wilson, "A Byzantine Miscellany: MS. Barocci 131 Described," *JÖB* 27 (1978): 157–79.

<sup>21</sup>On the function of the romance's Latin color in accentuating a "historical-exotic" setting, see Agapitos, "Χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία," 109–11.

 $^{22}$ Livistros suffers from a syndrome typical of medieval romance characters. Though a highly sexual being, he consciously negates his own erotic nature; Rhodamne suffers from it as well. This attitude to love is described in the romance as "haughtiness" (N 242: τὸ ἀγέρωχον [Livistros]; S 208: τὸ ἡπηρμένον [Rhodamne]). On this symptom as suffered by the protagonist couple in the *Achilleid*, see O. L. Smith, "Some Features of Structure and Narrative in the Byzantine *Achilleid*," *Hellenika* 42 (1991–92): 75–94, in particular 85–87.

V (N 186–270).<sup>23</sup> Night falls, Livistros goes to sleep, and a dream comes to him (N 176–89a). While riding across a beautiful meadow he is arrested by a group of winged and armed beings (N 190–223). As he is led, bound by the neck, to the palace of Eros, one of these warrior cupids gives Livistros sound advice on how to entreat the angry ruler, pointing out that it is inappropriate for a beautiful person not to submit to the power of the Realm of Eros, the dreaded *Erotokratia* (N 224–70).

VI (N 270a–P 2757). Outside the courtyard gates of the palace of Eros, Livistros is confronted by a terrifying guard holding an inscribed sheet of paper that urges men to become slaves to Eros (N 270a–80 + P 2702–11). They enter, and Livistros is led through a triumphal arch (τροπική) whose interior is covered with mosaics and marble reliefs. These depict birds singing and flying amidst thick foliage, Aphrodite giving birth to Eros, and the Judgment of Paris-Alexandros (P 2712–35). Speaking statues adorn the four corners of the arch's exterior cornice (P 2736–57).

VII (P 2758–N 431). Exiting the arch with his escort cupid, Livistros meets a beautiful man—he is Desire ( $\Pi \acute{o}\theta \acute{o}\varsigma$ )—who sternly reproaches him for his rebellious conduct. Livistros admits that he will swear vassalage to Eros (P 2758–63 + N 433–53). At that moment, a beautiful tall woman appears. She is Love ('Ay\'aπη), and Livistros's escort advises him to fall at her feet. She wonders at the young man; Livistros then falls in *proskynesis* in front of her, and begs her and Desire to become his mediators with Eros (N 454–65 + P 2796–97 + N 398–417). The two of them magnanimously accept and leave for the Hall of Judgment, having assured Livistros that he shall even obtain the noble love of a chaste maiden (N 418–31).

VIII (N 432–N 395). Livistros and his escort leave as well. They first pass by a low terrace decorated with statues of cupids (N 432 + N 359–66). Next to the terrace is a pool with a fountain basin, at the top of which stands the statue of a man tormented by snakes because he has rebelled against Eros. The statue warns Livistros about the fate of rebels (N 367–95).

IX (N 396–P 256). Finally, Livistros and his escort enter the crowded Hall of Judgment; in its center Eros holds court on a throne (N 396–97 + N 292). Livistros describes in detail the three-faced ruler (N 293–309). When he is summoned before Eros, he falls in *proskynesis*, begs for mercy, and declares that he will swear vassalage (N 310–27). Eros replies that he will ignore Livistros's previous behavior and will give him the hand of the princess Rhodamne, daughter of the emperor Chrysos (N 328–35 + P 217–23). Livistros then notices two women, one dressed in white and the other in red, flanking Eros; he is informed that they are Truth (᾿Αλήθεια) and Justice (Δικαιοσύνη). Eros addresses Livistros again and tells him to go with Desire and Love and swear his oath (P 224–56).

<sup>23</sup> It will become obvious to the reader who studies the text of the romance in the appendix that the textual situation of N 186–560 is in a sad, though not irreparable, state. The narrative sequence in manuscript N is totally disturbed due to (a) the exemplar from which the scribe was copying, and (b) two major lacunae. Moreover, the disorder was made worse by the first editor (Wilhelm Wagner) who rearranged a number of passages and then numerated his text. At the same time, manuscript P also presents a serious disorder, because two quaternions from the beginning of the manuscript were by mistake bound at the end, which its first editor (Demetrios Maurophrydes) did not notice. The correct order of the text can be reconstructed with the help of versions E and V. When one leaves smaller lacunae aside, the main sequence of the disordered passage is as follows: N 186–280, P 2702–63, N 433–66, N 398–432, N 359–97, N 281–316, N 466a-b, N 317–35, P 217–302, N 336–58, N 467–560.

X (P 257–311). The three of them go to the Room of Oaths; Livistros describes a painting of Eros and various inscriptions that are mounted on the door (P 257–70). He enters the room, finds a paper attached to the bow of Eros, reads the text of the oath describing the inescapable power of the mighty ruler, and swears by it (P 271–96). Immediately a prophet appears and announces to Livistros his fate, which is a brief summary of the romance's plot. As soon as the prophet finishes, Livistros wakes up (P 297–302 + N 336–47 + P 311).

XI (N 347a–P 360). Livistros is in a state of shock, remembering the wondrous things that he saw in his dream and believing that they were real (N 347a–58 + P 322 + N 467–72). He calls for the Relative who prompts him to tell his dream (N 473–82). As he names Rhodamne, the Relative interrupts him and gives him specific information about the unknown princess, advising Livistros to go out in search of her; they pass the whole day in such conversation (N 483–98 + P 359–60).

XII (N 499–560). Night arrives, and the Relative leaves; Livistros dines with his companions, then falls asleep, and sees a second dream (N 499–503). It appears to him that he is walking in a garden decorated by Eros, Desire, and Love. As he wanders in astonishment, he sees from a distance Eros, now in his form as Cupid, holding the wondrous Rhodamne by her hand (N 504–29 + P 390–93). Eros calls out to Livistros to join his company; the latter is amazed at the sight of the young woman, wondering if she is a female cupid or even Aphrodite herself (N 530–39 + P 404–12). Eros explains to him that this is Rhodamne and hands her over to him. Livistros reaches out his hand, rushes toward the maiden, and wakes up in sheer agony, desiring to see the lost dream, hating the morning light, and wishing for the return of night (P 413 + N 540–60).

II

Before I examine more closely Livistros's two dreams and their spatial aesthetics, it is necessary to consider some theoretical points concerning the nature and use of space in narrative, and in the Byzantine romances in particular. In contrast to the "objective" notion of time, space in ancient and medieval literature is perceived as something "subjective," for, while the cyclical arrival of day and night appears as a phenomenon external to the subject, space is anchored in the concrete world of the subject's existence. This difference explains, at least in the case of ancient and medieval texts, the absence of a fully developed system of spatial formulas that would structure the narrative process, as opposed to the obvious presence of temporal formulas. (One needs only to remember the formulaic appearance of "rosy-fingered dawn" in the Homeric poems.)<sup>24</sup>

Space manifests itself in narrative primarily through oppositions—here and there, above and below, left and right, closed and open, inside and outside. These spatial oppositions are often connected to the notions of movement or immobility. At the same time, space can be used to create an "atmospheric" setting. In any case, in whatever form space might appear in a narrative, it is part of the narrative situation, namely, a defined unit within the narrative process, which consists of time, space, and action.<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>For a more detailed exposition, see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 272–75; on temporal formulas in the romances and their history in Greek literature, see ibid., 227–35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>On space in narrative, see G. Hoffmann, Raum, Situation, erzählte Wirklichkeit: Poetologische und historische Studien zum englischen und amerikanischen Roman (Stuttgart, 1978), 1–53, with further bibliography.

In the Byzantine vernacular romances, some of which are based to a large extent on the rhetorical patterns developed in the Komnenian novels,  $^{26}$  space is used in a number of ways. For example, spatial notions are conveyed through the various signals that introduce the descriptive mode.  $^{27}$  Moreover, in *Livistros and Rhodamne* space assumes a particular coloring, because the romance is written in the first-person perspective, with space thus acquiring maximum subjectivity. Verbs of seeing ( $\hat{\epsilon}i\delta\alpha$ ,  $\hat{\epsilon}\phi\acute{\alpha}v\eta$   $\mu\epsilon$ ) or being ( $\hat{\eta}\tau ov$ ), employed by the characters as narrators, signal for the reader a visual (*qua* spatial) component in the narrative situation,  $^{28}$  and similar phrases also indicate the conclusion of such a visual section.  $^{29}$ 

A more complex formula for the conveying of spatial notions is what can be termed "passage of space," 30 which is closely related to a similar "passage-of-time" formula. 11 The passage-of-space device is a phrase consisting of an adverb, a verb of motion, and a space signifier. 12 In *Livistros and Rhodamne* this phrase is mostly combined with some notion of time: either the adverb is temporal, or another sentence that includes temporal signifiers is added to the phrase (N 268–70: Καὶ μὲ τὰς τόσας τὰς πολλὰς ἐρωτονουθεσίας | ὁκάμποτε εἰς τοῦ μθωτος ἤλθαμε τὴν κατούνα | καὶ τὴν αὐλὴν ἐσέβημεν τῆς Ἐρωτοκρατίας). 33

A prominent device of the author in indicating the passage of space in the romance is the "spatial bridge": the traversal of space is conveyed by inserting into the narrative mode various types of the discoursive mode,<sup>34</sup> such as monologues, dialogues, speech-

<sup>26</sup>See Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 141–93 (the three modes of narration), and idem, "Χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία," 101–28 (structural, typologic, and stylistic aspects of the vernacular romances). To view the Palaiologan romances as completely separate from the Komnenian novels (as does C. Cupane, review of Narrative Structure in the Byzantine Vernacular Romances, by P. A. Agapitos, JÖB 43 [1993]: 455–62), or to count them as part of early Modern Greek literature in order to study them from the perspective of Apollonios and Erotokritos (as does G. Kechagioglou, review of Narrative Structure in the Byzantine Vernacular Romances, by P. A. Agapitos, Hellenika 44 [1994]: 200–213), shows, in my opinion, a lack of understanding of the socio-political and cultural context in which these works were produced and read.

<sup>27</sup>The descriptive mode signifies the sections of text in which the whole range of rhetorical ekphrastic devices is employed in a clearly defined manner and embedded in the narrative process. Signals of the descriptive mode are verbs of seeing or being that introduce or conclude a descriptive passage; see, for example, Kallimachos and Chrysorroe (hereafter KSC) 808 ( $^{\circ}$ Hv γὰρ ἡ κόρη πάντερπνος, ἐρωτοφορουμένη), or Velthandros and Chrysantza (hereafter VSC) 292 (Εἶδε, παρεξενώθην τα, ὑπερεθαύμασέν τα); see further Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 177–93.

 $^{28}$  See, for example, N 189–90 (the beginning of the first dream) or P 2722 (the triumphal arch). On the group εἶδα/ἐφάνη με, see also N 271, N 371 + 374, N 292, N 504, N 513; on the group ἦτον, see also P 2729, P 2737, N 361, N 367, N 513.

 $^{29}$  See, for example, P 2746 (connecting to P 2722 and ending the description of the triumphal arch). See also N 195 (< N 190), N 380 (< N 374), N 383–84 (< N 371), N 305 (< N 292), N 558 (< N 504); in the parentheses the introductory verse is given to which the concluding verb connects.

<sup>30</sup>Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 275–76, and 279–80 on L&R in particular.

 $^{31}$ The "passage of time" refers to a set of phrases that function as clearly defined joints between various narrative situations with the purpose of propelling the action forward in time. See, for example,  $K \mathcal{C} C 918-19$  (Έφθασεν, ήλθε τὸ λοιπὸν καὶ τρίτος ἡ ἡμέρα, | ἀνέτειλεν ὁ ἥλιος, ήλθε πρὸς μεσημβρίαν),  $V \mathcal{C} C 851$  (καὶ διέβη ὥρα περισσὴ τὸν νοῦν των νὰ συμφέρουν),  $L \mathcal{C} R S 1197$  (καὶ μετὰ ὡρίτσαν ὀλιγὴν ἡλθεν ὁ Βερδερίχος).

 $^{32}$  See, for example, KGC 173–74 (Καὶ μετὰ τὴν παραδρομὴν καὶ τοῦ τοσούτου τόπου | εἰς κάστρον κατηντήσαμεν μέγα, φρικτὸν καὶ ξένον). The phrase καὶ μετὰ τὴν παραδρομὴν καὶ τοῦ τοσούτου τόπου is modeled on the passage-of-time formulas, such as μετὰ καιροῦ παραδρομήν (KGC 147).

<sup>33</sup> For further examples in the dream sequence, see P 2712, P 2722, P 2758, N 434, N 454–55, N 432, P 246, P 257, P 271 (without a temporal notion), P 297.

<sup>34</sup>The discoursive mode encompasses the clearly delineated succession of constructed monologues and dialogues between the characters, integrated into the narrative process (see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*,

frame formulas, 35 and narratorial statements. 36 Thus, while the romance's characters talk to themselves or to someone else, they have moved from one place to another. This motion is framed by appropriate signals (N 195–202: Ἐβλεπα τὸ ἀναλίβαδον . . . μόνος καὶ μόνος ἔλεγα . . . Καὶ ἐνόσω τὸ ἐπαράτρεχα). 37

However, the most obvious carrier of spatial notions in the descriptive mode is description itself, the time-honored *ekphrasis*.<sup>38</sup> In the vernacular romances *ekphrasis* is employed chiefly in two ways, as a "compact" or as a "broken" description.<sup>39</sup> The description is compact when it is framed by specific signals and usually concentrates on one person or object, giving to the described subject a sense of formality and importance.<sup>40</sup> The description appears as broken when the *ekphrasis* is divided into smaller units and intertwined with the narrative and the discoursive modes. In this way, description, narration, and dialogue are inextricably combined, creating an amalgam that lends a sense of fluidity to the rhetorical structure of the text. Such an extended broken description is Livistros's first dream, with a total of some 460 verses (N 190–347 + P 311), which is introduced (N 188–89a) and concluded (N 347a–58 + P 322 + N 467–72) with all the necessary signals of the descriptive mode. The dream itself includes various fully signaled compact *ekphraseis*<sup>41</sup> and shorter descriptions, <sup>42</sup> all of them embedded in a fluid sequence of action and dialogue that is held together by passage-of-space formulas and spatial bridges.

<sup>159–76).</sup> For a splendid example from the dream sequence, see N 434–65 + P 2796–97 + N 398–415 (the conversation among Livistros, his escort cupid, Desire, and Love).

 $<sup>^{35}</sup>$ Within the discoursive mode, the "speech frame" represents the signaling system, originally a device from the oral epic tradition, by means of which a speech is introduced and concluded (see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 64–73). For two examples from the dream sequence, see N 229–30 + N 268–70 (the speech of the escort cupid), N 405 + N 416–18 (Livistros's petition to Love).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>On authorial-narratorial statements, see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 74–90. Two excellent examples from the passage under consideration here are N 227–30 and P 2714–21 (both cases combine an interjection in the form of a question and an intervention in the form of an explicative statement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>See also N 224–70 (Livistros and the cupids cross the meadow and reach the camp of Eros), P 2758–63 + N 433–34 (the escort cupid leads Livistros away from the triumphal arch), N 454–65 + P 2796–97 + N 398–400 (Love arrives while Desire and Livistros talk to each other), N 432 + N 359–97 (Livistros passes by the terrace and the pool on his way to the Hall of Judgment), N 473–75 + P 331 (a case of abstract space traversal: Livistros calls for the Relative), N 513–37 (a complex case with a set of inner delays: Livistros meets Eros and Rhodamne in the garden; he twice breaks the narration and addresses Klitovon).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>On *ekphrasis* in general, see E. Mitsi and P. A. Agapitos, "Εικὼν καὶ λόγος: Ή περιγραφὴ ἔργων τέχνης στὴ βυζαντινὴ λογοτεχνία," Χρονικὰ Αἰσθητικῆς 29–30 (1990–91): 109–26, and L. James and R. Webb, "'Το Understand Ultimate Things and Enter Secret Places': Ekphrasis and Art in Byzantium," *Art History* 14 (1991): 1–17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>For a detailed analysis of the stylistic and formal aspects of descriptions in the *Digenes Akrites*, the Komnenian novels, and the Palaiologan romances, see C. Jouanno, "L'ekphrasis dans la littérature byzantine d'imagination" (diss., Sorbonne, 1987), 63–142. I take here the opportunity to thank Dr. Corinne Jouanno for making a copy of her unpublished dissertation available to me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>For example, the description of the pool ( $\phi$ ισκίνα) in Rhodamne's garden (LGR S 1311–71), or the description of Chrysantza (VGC 677–99); on compact descriptions in the romances, see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 179–80, 184, 186–88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>P 2720-52 (the triumphal arch), N 359-95 (the terrace, the pool, and the basin), N 291-311 (Eros).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>N 190–97 (the meadow), N 218–20 (the escort cupid), N 271–73 (the guardian at the door), N 435–37 (Desire), N 455–64 (Love), P 224–33 (Truth and Justice), P 259a–67 (the door of the Room of Oaths).

III

Let us now return to the protagonist's first two dreams. Their chief narrative function is to introduce Livistros to the Realm of Eros and make him fall in love. In other words, they depict a conversion from rebellion and unfaithfulness <sup>43</sup> to submission and faithfulness. <sup>44</sup> This conversion is part of a larger initiation process into the "religion" of love, a process that starts with this sequence and ends much later, when Livistros finally marries Rhodamne and enters her father's castle. Livistros's conversion at the beginning of the romance is carried out in three stages.

The first stage is the mental preparation of the initiate, necessary before he is confronted with the power of Eros. The preparation is achieved through the turtledove episode. The sad incident provokes in Livistros a sense of wonder, which he describes as a μυστήριον φοβερόν (N 132). In seeking out the Relative, Livistros voluntarily wishes to find out about this awe-inspiring mystery (N 137–39, N 148–50). This voluntary act is of extreme importance, since it reflects a desire for knowledge that the initiate must display in order to exit from his state of "senselessness" (ἀναισθησία). The speech delivered by the Relative on the all-encompassing power of Eros, which freely uses material from the older novels, is a didaskalia into the mysteries of Eros, as Livistros candidly acknowledges (N 151–52: καὶ ἐκεῖνος ἐπεχείρησε τοῦ νὰ μὲ ἀναδιδάξη | τοῦ ἔρωτος τὰ μυστήρια καὶ τὰ δεσμὰ τοῦ τόθου) and as the Relative emphatically points out (N 173–74: καταλεπτὸν ἀν ἄρξωμαι τοῦ νὰ σὲ ἀναδιδάξω | τοῦ ἔρωτος τὰ μυστήρια τὰ δείχνει εἰς τὴν ἀγάπην). At the end of this lesson, Livistros finds himself contemplating Eros, deeply anxious that he might get entangled in the sorrows of love (N 178–85).

With the initiate's mind and heart prepared, the second stage begins when night falls and Livistros has his first dream. Night as the time when the mind liberates itself and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>See the phrases used to describe Livistros as a "rebel against desire" (P 2742: ἀντιστάτης τοῦ πόθου) and a "slave to unfaithfulness" (P 2743: τῆς ἀπιστίας ὁ δοῦλος).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>See the core of Livistros's oath, where he offers servitude to Eros, vassal allegiance to Desire, and faithfulness to Love (P 295–96: δουλώνομαι είς τὸν Ἔρωτα, λιζιώνομαι είς τὸν Πόθον, | πιστός της νὰ εἶμαι ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν τῆς Ἐρωτικοαγάπης).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>The phrase also appears twice within the dream sequence, at P 2739 (μυστήριον εἶδα φοβερόν) and P 218 (εἶδα φρικτὸν μυστήριον), where it characterizes first an architectural feature and then Eros's tripartite voice. In this sense, the phrase expresses an aspect of the author's poetics, since the semantic field of φοβερός, φρικτός, παράξενος, and ξενοχάραγος is applied in the romance to works of art, the dream, and narration itself. It is unfortunate that studies of poetic concepts and terms in Byzantine literature have not been undertaken at all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Throughout the first part of the romance Livistros is described as insensible (or insensitive) to love (N 175: ἀναιστητότερος; N 250: ἄμνηστος; N 321: ἀπὸ ἀναισθησίας μου). This concept is connected to inexperience in erotic affairs, which is described by the notions of boorishness and peasantry (N 23: ἄνθρωπον ἄγροικου εἰς τὸν κόσμου; N 411: ἄνθρωπος ἦτον χωρικός; N 324: ἤμουν χωρικός)—notions that clearly reflect the aristocratic social perspective of the author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>The speech consists of the list of four *exempla*—three taken from nature's paradoxes and one from myth—that illustrate the power of Eros. Such lists appear in Tatios 1.17–18, Eugeneianos 4.135–49, and Manasses frag. 21–21a (O. Mazal, *Der Roman des Konstantinos Manasses: Úberlieferung, Rekonstruktion, Textausgabe der Fragmente*, Wiener Byzantinistische Studien 4 [Vienna, 1967]); the list in  $L \mathcal{E}R$  is identical with the one in Manasses' novel (see Agapitos, "Χρονολογική ἀκολουθία," 107, and Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 77).

dreams visit the sleeping person is, of course, an old convention in Greek literature.<sup>48</sup> What is interesting in the case of our romance is that the first dream of Livistros represents a complex narrative sequence, with a number of *topoi* incorporated but rearranged. In his dream Livistros, being a rebel against imperial authority, experiences the terrifying power of the *Erotokratia*. This sense of anxiety and fear, established already from the first stage, forces Livistros to accept a second *didaskalia*, now offered to him by his escort cupid (N 232–67). The monologue, which picks up some of the images in the Relative's speech,<sup>49</sup> concentrates on the offense of rebellion (N 240–48), on the need for Livistros, whose sexual beauty is extolled, to learn about the affairs of love (N 249–58), and, lastly, on the cardinal virtue of humility in erotic servitude (N 259–63).

From this point on and for the rest of the dream, Livistros sees persons and objects, reads texts, and hears voices with growing astonishment.<sup>50</sup> The various speaking statues, the numerous inscriptions, the triumphal arch with its mosaics and marble reliefs, the admonitions of Love and Desire, and all other elements add up to a *didaskalia* on the vassalage of love. A closer look at the text of the first dream reveals that the author has used a repetitive pattern<sup>51</sup> to underline the didactic function of what Livistros sees, reads, and hears. Four times a tripartite schema of "figure holding text—inscription read—text explained" is repeated: the guardian at the gate of the courtyard of the *Erotokratia* and his inscription explained by the escort cupid (N 271–80 + P 2702–8); the tormented man in the pool basin and his inscription again explained by the escort cupid (N 373–82); the painting at the door of the Room of Oaths and its inscription explained by Desire (P 260–267); and the bow of Eros and the text of the oath of love explained by the prophet (P 273–91).<sup>52</sup> Livistros himself accentuates this didactic aspect of the narrative when, confronted with the three-faced Eros, he exclaims: "Who is the creator and what is this strangely made being I see, what is it really? Who will tell me what I see, who will inter-

<sup>48</sup>On dreams and dream theory in antiquity, see the collective volume, D. I. Kyrtatas, ed., Ὁψις ἐνυπνίου: Ἡ χρήση τῶν ὀνείρων στὴν ἑλληνικὴ καὶ ρωμαϊκὴ ἀρχαιότητα (Herakleion, 1993), with full bibliography; on dreams in the ancient novels, see Bartsch, *Ancient Novel*, 80–108; and on the learned novels, see S. MacAlister, "Aristotle on the Dream: A Twelfth-Century Romance Revival," *Byzantion* 60 (1990): 195–212, and eadem, *Dreams and Suicides: The Greek Novel from Antiquity to the Byzantine Empire* (London–New York, 1996), 115–52. <sup>49</sup>These images are the four *exempla* on the power of Eros (N 233–39 ≈ N 161–77).

<sup>50</sup>The astonishment of a character confronted with unfamiliar situations, especially in the first part of the romance, is a major concept used to convey the "didactic" function of narrative (Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 48–54). In this way, a romance becomes an explanation of and a guide to the mysteries of the ἐρωτικὴ ἀσχόλησις, the "worries of love." In L&R, this sense of astonishment to be experienced by the characters and the readers (and expressed through the verbs θαυμάζω, ἀπορῶ, ἐξαπορῶ, and ξενίζομαι) is extremely prominent; from the dream sequence alone, note N 196, P 2721, P 2746, P 2747, P 2756, N 291, N 292, P 245, N 467, N 537, N 541. The concept of aporia forms, together with the notion of μυστήριον φοβερόν (see above, note 45), one of the romance's key poetic concepts.

 $^{51}$ On such patterns and their importance in LGR, see P. A. Agapitos, "Η ἀφηγηματικὴ σημασία τῆς ἀνταλλαγῆς ἐπιστολῶν καὶ τραγουδιῶν στὸ μυθιστόρημα Λίβιστρος καὶ Ροδάμνη," *Thesaurismata* 26 (1996): 25–42, in particular 38–40.

<sup>52</sup>On the importance of the written word in the form of a document for Byzantine mentality, see H. Hunger, "Die Herrschaft des 'Buchstabens': Das Verhältnis der Byzantiner zu Schrift- und Kanzleiwesen," Δελτ.Χριστ. Άρχ. Έτ. 4.12 (1984): 17–38, esp. 30–33, with reference to the romances. Obviously, the written text held in the hand of a figure also reflects the iconography of prophets, saints, and bishops in Byzantine art, shown holding similar scrolls of wisdom (I owe the suggestion to the kindness of Ioli Kalavrezou).

pret it for me, what friend of beauty will teach me about this being?" (N 306–9).<sup>53</sup> When, finally, Livistros has been brought into the Room of Oaths to swear, he has voluntarily accepted the power of Eros. The prophet, in yet another *didaskalia*, instructs him about his future fate and the woman Eros promised to give him. And, just as at the end of the first stage, so too now, when Livistros wakes up from the dream, he is only concerned, in amazement and fear, with what he saw during the previous night. He is again in need of his *erotodidaskalos*, who, knowing very well who the princess Rhodamne is, begins to direct Livistros's thoughts to love through words of comfort and the suggestion that he should go in search of her.

The initiate has by now understood the power of Eros and has accepted it as a governing force of his life, but he has not as yet experienced love. At this point, we enter the third stage in the process of conversion; for, now that Livistros is a vassal of Eros, he can be converted body and soul to his new religion. This happens in the second dream, when Livistros actually meets Rhodamne in Eros's magnificent garden—the garden being the female erotic setting par excellence.<sup>54</sup> The passage wherein Livistros falls in love with Rhodamne—a process described by him in a complex series of exits from and entrances onto different narrative levels (N 515-29 + P 390-93 + N 530-32)—captures all of the mental uncertainties involved in the process of conversion, which, however, once concluded, is unalterable and complete. Thus, Livistros exits his dream in a passage from perfect delight (N 551: ἀπὸ τὴν τόσην ἡδονήν) to total agony (N 553: ὀδύνας ἀμετρήτους). The only things left to him are a sense of sorrow and desire (N 557-58), exactly the feelings that he feared and wanted to avoid at the beginning of his initiation (N 552-60  $\approx$  N 184–85). The Relative appears again, giving his final advice to the young king on how to set out from Livandros in search of Argyrokastron, the seat of the emperor Chrysos (N 561-606).55 Here ends Livistros's conversion. It has been structured by the author with admirable clarity through the threefold repetition of the tripartite sequence of "appearance of external causative agent—releasing of disturbed emotions—provision of instructive teaching."

The world of dreams, simultaneously external and internal to the protagonist,<sup>56</sup> then forms the medium through which the chief concern of the romance—the act of searching for one's lover—is established at the beginning of the narrative and subsequently sustained in its further development.<sup>57</sup> From the moment when Livistros wakes up, converted to love but suffering because of it, he tries to superimpose his two dreams—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>On the complex figure of Ἔρως τριμορφοπρόσωπος, see C. Cupane, "Ἔρως βασιλεύς: La figura di Eros nel romanzo bizantino d'amore," *Atti dell' Academia di scienze, lettere e arti di Palermo*, ser. 4, 33.2.2 (1973–74): 243–97, in particular 290–91, and Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 156–58, with the cautionary remarks by Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 84–85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>A. R. Littlewood, "Romantic Paradises: The Rôle of the Garden in the Byzantine Romance," *BMGS* 5 (1979): 95–114, and Smith, "Some Features," 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>See Agapitos, "Χρονολογική ἀκολουθία," 105–6, on the Relative's function as a "helper," which is a term used in the analysis of wonder-tales (C. Bremond, *Logique du récit* [Paris, 1973], 282–94).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>On this aspect of erotic dreams in the romances, see Smith, "Some Features," 93–94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>There are three further dreams in the romance: Eros appears to Livistros assuring him that he will instill love in the heart of the young princess (N 690–706); Eros pierces Rhodamne's heart with his arrow (S 197–219); and Klitovon sees a prophetic dream on the happy outcome of Livistros's quest for Rhodamne in Egypt (S 1535–76).

in other words, the inner world of desire—on reality, that is, the outer world of obstacles.

IV

Absent or present, the most impressive figure in Livistros's dreams is Eros, "lord emperor, master of all the earth, commander of the inanimate world, ruler of animate beings, examiner of every soul, judge of the law of desire, helper of love, friend of respect" (N 317–20). This is how Livistros, in proper Byzantine form, addresses the mighty ruler, having fallen on the ground in front of his throne and begging for mercy. By the time the reader has reached this point in the dream, the image of Eros as a Byzantine emperor has fully established itself. The realm of the *Erotokratia* with its warriors, the concept of rebellion, Eros's palace, the triumphal arch, the ruler as judge, the mediating figures of Desire and Love, the strictly observed protocol, and the act of *proskynesis*—these are all elements derived from Byzantine imperial imagery.<sup>58</sup> Their function is to present for a Byzantine readership the terrifying and "autocratic" power of Eros. But once Livistros has begged for forgiveness, Eros shows his magnanimity, which is a chief virtue of the imperial monarch (N 329–35).<sup>59</sup> Only then does Livistros notice the figures of Justice and Truth flanking the ruler's side.<sup>60</sup>

Carolina Cupane was the first to point out that the dream sequence in *Livistros and Rhodamne* stands in close relation to the opening sequences of Makrembolites' *Hysmine and Hysminias*, although she perceived the relation as one of intelligent imitation.<sup>61</sup> I would like to suggest that a more profound and creative dialogue is carried out between the two texts.

The situation in the Komnenian novel is as follows.<sup>62</sup> Hysminias meets Hysmine in her parents' house; she falls in love with him, but he is insensitive to this feeling. The setting for this and subsequent flirtation is the house's beautiful garden, described by Hysminias at the very beginning of the novel (1.4–6). The next day, he and his cousin Kratisthenes go to the garden where they find a magnificent pavilion filled with paintings (2.1–11). These depict the four cardinal virtues in the form of four women, as a verse

<sup>58</sup>On these elements, see Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 189–90, 192 n. 149, 325 n. 137; P. E. Pieler, "Recht, Gesellschaft und Staat im byzantinischen Roman der Palaiologenzeit," *JÖB* 20 (1971): 189–221, esp. 205–11. <sup>59</sup>H. Hunger, "Philanthropia: Eine griechische Wortprägung auf ihrem Wege von Aischylos bis Theodoros Metochites," *AnzWien* 100 (1963): 1–21.

<sup>60</sup>On these figures, see Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 325 n. 138; Cupane, "Έρως βασιλεύς," 246 n. 15; Jouanno, "L'ekphrasis," 250–56. See also the depiction of John II Komnenos and his son Alexios crowned by the enthroned Christ who is flanked by Mercy and Justice in Vat. Urb. gr. 2, fol. 19v of A.D. 1122 (V. Lazarev, Storia della pittura bizantina: Edizione italiana rielaborata e ampliata dall'autore, Biblioteca di storia dell'arte 7 [Turin, 1967], pl. 251) and the representation of David between Wisdom and Prophecy in Vat. Pal. gr. 381, fol. 2r of the 13th century (D. Talbot Rice, The Art of Byzantium [New York, 1959], pl. 177).

<sup>61</sup>Cupane, "Έρως βασιλεύς," 286. In a similar vein are the remarks on the relation between the two texts by Jouanno, "L'ekphrasis," 232–35.

<sup>62</sup> For a more detailed summary of the novel's plot, see H. Hunger, *Die hochsprachliche profane Literatur der Byzantiner, HAW* 12.5.2 (Munich, 1978), 2:137–41. The novel has received a most sympathetic interpretation by M. Alexiou, "A Critical Reappraisal of Eustathios Makrembolites' *Hysmine and Hysminias*," *BMGS* 3 (1977): 23–43. See also Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 79–87, and Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 39–40, 42–44, 81–82.

inscription discloses; Hysminias attempts a bold—and wrong—interpretation of the fresco. Next, they see a painting of a naked youth sitting on a throne, flanked by two women. Hysminias makes another—equally wrong—interpretive attempt. At the enthroned youth's feet stand thousands of people, commoners, rulers, and soldiers, men and women. Hysminias is bewildered, until a second verse inscription discloses the identity of the youth: it is Eros. Kratisthenes instructs Hysminias that the fresco depicts the all-conquering power of Eros, while the two women represent Night and Day. Then, at night, Hysminias is visited by a terrifying dream: Eros, as he was depicted on the fresco, appears to Hysminias, accompanied by a crowd of people holding torches. A terrible voice summons Hysminias the rebel; Hysmine as a suppliant asks for the ruler's mercy, and Eros crowns Hysminias with a garland of roses. As the god tells Hysmine that she now has her lover, the dream disappears, an oppressive weight falls on Hysminias's heart, and he wakes up in fear (3.1-4). He goes to sleep again and sees another dream, this time of a purely erotic character (3.5–7). He and Hysmine engage in playful sexual combat, which is about to lead to its final climax. Hysminias senses a languishing and sweet pain, but at that moment Hysmine flies from his hands, and sleep from his eyes.<sup>63</sup>

It has been argued that, although one is confronted with various Byzantine elements, the chief source of inspiration for this sequence is to be found in Western medieval, French in particular, romantic poetry.<sup>64</sup> Be this as it may, I would like to point out the following in connection with this sequence. In the first instance, its imagery is placed in a clearly ancient Greek context, while Achilleus Tatios's erotic garden and erotic painting function as important structural guides of the narrative.<sup>65</sup> But for a twelfth-century Byzantine reader this ancient setting is "exotic," in the sense that it represents a literary construct unrelated to social reality.<sup>66</sup> At the same time, this "antique" world is suffused with Byzantine cultural associations, some of which, in my opinion, derive from Christian

<sup>63</sup>On the novel's dreams, see Alexiou, "Critical Reappraisal," 40–42, and MacAlister, *Dreams*, 135–40, 144–46.

64 Cupane, "Έρως βασιλεύς," 261–81; eadem, "Il motivo del castello nella narrativa tardobizantina: Evoluzione di un'allegoria," JÖB 27 (1978): 229–67; eadem, "Topica romanzesca in oriente e in occidente: 'Avanture' e 'amour,'" in Il romanzo tra cultura latina e cultura bizantina: Testi della III settimana residenziale di studi medievali (Carini, Villa Belvedere, 17–21 Ottobre 1983), ed. C. Roccaro, Biblioteca dell'Enchiridion 5 (Palermo, 1986), 47–72; Beaton, Medieval Greek Romance, 154–63. Objections have been voiced against this approach and some of its results; see, in particular, E. Jeffreys, "The Comnenian Background to the romans d'antiquité," Byzantion 50 (1980): 455–86; P. A. Agapitos, "The Erotic Bath in the Byzantine Vernacular Romance Kallimachos and Chrysorrhoe," ClMed 41 (1990): 259 n. 11, 268–69; idem, Narrative Structure, 189–90; Agapitos and Smith, Medieval Greek Romance, 81–90; Agapitos, "Χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία," 97–99, 133. Thus, Roderick Beaton (Medieval Greek Romance, 211–12, 216–17, 219–20) has retracted or modified some of his views. Since the dates of composition for both the learned and the vernacular works are still open to debate (see above, note 7), exact lines of dependency between the Western and the Byzantine works are very difficult to demonstrate. On the Komnenian novels, see S. MacAlister, "Byzantine Twelfth-Century Romances: A Relative Chronology," BMGS 15 (1991): 175–210, and the cautionary remarks by P. Magdalino, "Eros the King and the King of Amours: Some Observations on Hysmine and Hysminias," DOP 46 (1992): 197–204.

<sup>65</sup>On Tatios's opening sequences, see Bartsch, Ancient Novel, 40-79.

<sup>66</sup>Agapitos, "Χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία," 110. Already in the ancient novels one is confronted with "historical" settings (Chariton, Heliodoros) that may evoke or even criticize the classical Greek world from a Hellenistic or late antique perspective. Indicatively, see T. Hägg, "Callirhoe and Parthenope: The Beginnings of the Historical Novel," *Classical Antiquity* 6 (1987): 184–204; J. R. Morgan, "History, Romance and Realism in Heliodoros," *Classical Antiquity* 1 (1982): 221–65.

iconography rather than directly from imperial imagery. The image of Eros as a βασιλεύς on the throne owes some structural and stylistic debts to Byzantine depictions of the Last Judgment and of Christ in his glory as the King of Kings. And most importantly, Hysminias's first dream makes use of two famous Gospel scenes: the thunderous voice descending from heaven and stopping the rebel is inspired by the conversion of Paul on the road to Damascus (Acts 9:1–9); and the handing over of Hysminias to Hysmine by Eros reflects Christ handing over Mary to John at the cross (John 19:25). Moreover, even though Eros is characterized as βασιλεύς, he is always referred to in the novel as the painted image of a god worshiped by his believers. In this sense, the divine character of Eros is brought out by Makrembolites through a suffusion of the two images of rulership that his culture revered, namely, Christ as the heavenly ruler and the emperor as the earthly one.

Turning now to *Livistros and Rhodamne*, one can discern both the similarities and the differences between the two texts. The anonymous poet has introduced two major changes in Makrembolites' schema—one structural and one iconographic. Iconographically, Eros is not a god any more, but is consistently described as a ruler of a state, with all the accompanying apparatus. In *Livistros and Rhodamne*, therefore, Eros is secularized. Moreover, he has been removed from the world of representative art and thus given animated substance, since he is not a painted image but a real person. Yet, at the same time, his power has been restricted, since he now appears only in the world of dreams, functioning as a causative agent and a projection of the protagonist couple's emotions, but decidedly not as a *deus ex machina*. Furthermore, in *Livistros and Rhodamne* the overpowering presence of Eros stands in inverted relation to the depiction of sexuality, which is limited to the use of specific imagery rather than fully represented, as is the case in the later romances (though not without the imposition of certain rules of conduct, especially concerning premarital sex).

<sup>67</sup>When bringing Christian iconography into the discussion, I do not in the least mean to imply that the Byzantine novels should be read as Christian allegories, as has been suggested for Makrembolites by K. Plepelits, *Eustathios Makrembolites: Hysmine und Hysminias*, Bibliothek der Griechischen Literatur 29 (Stuttgart, 1989), 29–69. On the other hand, Byzantine readers are not precluded from supplying Christian allegorical interpretations of the ancient novels and the later romances, though the subject is far from seriously studied (Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 190–92; Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 106–8). See the forthcoming study by P. Odorico, "Ένας μοναχικὸς ἀναγνώστης τοῦ Καλλίμαχου," in Μνήμη ἀντωνίου Σιγάλα: Πρακτικὰ τῆς 6ης ἐπιστημονικῆς συνάντησης τοῦ Τομέα Μεσαιωνικῶν καὶ Νεοελληνικῶν Σπουδῶν τοῦ ἀριστοτελείου Πανεπιστημίου Θεσσαλονίκης, ed. V. Katsaros (Thessalonike, forthcoming).

<sup>68</sup>See, for example, the Last Judgment in the famous Par. gr. 74, fol. 51v, dating from the third quarter of the 11th century (Lazarev, *Storia*, pl. 194).

<sup>69</sup>3.1.2: τὸν γεγραμμένον Ἐρωτα; the phrase is repeated at 3.8.2 and at 7.18.2 (Eros's final appearance in Hysminias's last dream).

<sup>70</sup>Contrast Hysminias's dream at 7.18, where Eros actually saves Hysmine from drowning in the sea storm.
<sup>71</sup>Agapitos, "Αφηγηματική σημασία," 40–42, and idem, "Χρονολογική ἀκολουθία," 133.

<sup>72</sup>The study of sexuality in the vernacular romances still has to be undertaken (see Agapitos, "Erotic Bath," 268–70). The lengthy article by L. Garland, "'Be amorous, but be chaste . . .': Sexual Morality in Byzantine Learned and Vernacular Romance," *BMGS* 14 (1990): 62–120, is in many points oversimplifying and misleading (Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 61 n. 148; Smith, "Some Features," 93 n. 71), pace Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 216–17.

<sup>73</sup>The prevailing opinion on the matter, as summarized by Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 109, is that "the taboo on sex before marriage, rigorously maintained throughout all the earlier medieval romances, is

Beyond that, however, the structural, and ultimately symbolic, change between the two texts is quite revealing. Everything that takes place in Makrembolites' account is connected to the novel's narrative reality. Hysminias and Hysmine are seeing each other, the garden and its artistry are present, the frescoes are painted inside a real pavilion, the dreams stand in immediate relation to experienced reality,<sup>74</sup> and the various stages of Hysminias's conversion fluctuate between reality and dream. This is not the case in *Livistros and Rhodamne*. First, the stages of conversion are much more clearly defined through the tripartite repetitive pattern I have described here. Second, while everything connected with the protagonist's conversion is apparently external to him, at the same time the whole process takes place within his mind. He does not see anything in reality since everything appears to him in dreams. To give but one example, even though Rhodamne appears in Livistros's second dream, it takes both the protagonist and the reader some eighteen hundred verses after the dream sequence to actually see Rhodamne, as Livistros enters her father's castle and describes the beautiful princess in a formal *ekphrasis* (S 1271–1300).

Within the dreams themselves, the various images have shifted, and everything related to the allegory of love has become animate. Eros, Love, Desire, Justice, Truth, the guardians, the warrior cupids, and the prophet are living beings and not painted images of an exotic scenery. Art, however, is still very much present in Livistros's dreams; but it has become the testimony of the μυστήριον φοβερόν (P 2739) that has to be explained step by step to the ignorant protagonist by his "teachers." The architectural features, the decorative frescoes and mosaics, the mythological reliefs, the statues, and the gardens make the setting of a fantastic world that has been created by what to Livistros seems to be the wondrous art (P 2730: ἐκ τέχνης παραξένου) of a painter (N 193: χέρια ζωγράφου). The complex relation between τέχνη and reality (or φύσις in Byzantine terms)<sup>75</sup> is most vividly illustrated in the case of the three-faced Eros. Livistros initially perceives the enthroned ruler as yet another work of art (N 296–97: ἐὰν τὸ εἶδες, νὰ εἶπες ἐκ παντὸς χέρια καλοῦ ζωγράφου | τεχνίτου τὸ ἐστόρησαν, ψέγος οὐδὲν βαστάζει) and, as we have seen, asks for an interpreter to explain to him this wondrous creation (N 306–9). However, no

flouted, frequently with gusto" (so also H.-G. Beck, *Byzantinisches Erotikon* [Munich, 1986], 182–83, and Cupane, "Topica romanzesca," 64–66). However, in those vernacular romances where premarital sex is to be found (K&C, V&C, Achilleid, Byzantine Iliad), it is carried out only by the protagonist couples, and in such a manner as to suggest that the lovers perceive their relation as a private marriage (see O. L. Smith, "Literary and Ideological Observations on the N Version of the Achilleid," in Origini della letteratura neogreca, ed. Panagiotakis [as in note 7], 2:182–87; idem, "Some Features," 87–94; Agapitos, "Χρονολογικὴ ἀκολουθία," 112–13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup>MacAlister, "Aristotle on the Dream," 198–205, and eadem, *Dreams*, 158–64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup>On the issue, see Beaton, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 28, 65–68, with the objections of Agapitos and Smith, *Medieval Greek Romance*, 40–44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>This, in miniature form, is the motif of the interpreter of a work of art, as it is used in the novels, for example, Longos explaining the painting at the Grotto of the Nymphs on Lesbos, Kleitophon appearing to the anonymous narrator in Tatios, or Kratisthenes interpreting the frescoes for Hysminias in Makrembolites. The motif has also been used by Manasses in an *ekphrasis* of a mosaic in the imperial palace depicting the figure of Earth (O. Lampsides, "Der vollständige Text der Ἐκφρασις γῆς des Konstantinos Manasses," *JÖB* 41 [1991]: 189–205), where suddenly a connoisseur of art appears and gives vital technical information to the astonished narrator: Ταῦτά μου λέγοντος ἔτερος ἐγγύτερον παρεστὰς (ἦν δὲ δεινὸς πολυπραγμονεῖν τὰ τοιαῦτα καὶ τὰ μυστηριωδέστερα κατανοεῖν τῶν τεχνῶν) "ἔτι πλέον," ἔφη, "θαυμάσεις τὸν ταῦτα διαμορφώσαντα, εἰ καὶ τὴν ὕλην τῶν τυπωμάτων ἐξακριβώση· οὐ γὰρ ὑγρότης ἐπιτριμμάτων οὐδὲ βαφῶν ἀνακερασμοὶ οὐδὲ χρωμάτων

such person appears, since Livistros immediately discovers that Eros, unlike the previous speaking statues, is a real being (N 310–35 + P 217–23). In his second dream, therefore, Livistros interrupts his narrative (P 391–93) to refer back to his omission of the *didaskalia* expected after N 309, and makes a note to Klitovon that the latter should remind him to furnish for him—and for the reader—an explanation of the nature of Eros. This Klitovon duly does at N 711–15, and then Livistros promptly delivers his explanation (N 716–24 + P 577–84 + N 725–29). In sum, the poet of *Livistros and Rhodamne* has created out of Makrembolites' antique reality a modern fantasy in which spaces are presented as "landscapes of the mind."

V

The imaginary landscapes in Livistros's two dreams consist of three larger spaces: a meadow and the palace of Eros in the first dream, and the garden of Eros in the second.<sup>77</sup> To a certain extent, the meadow and the garden, which open the two dreams, are described in similar vocabulary (N 190-203 ≈ N 504-14), though their narrative and symbolic functions are very different. The meadow, an open and undefined space, creates a setting where Livistros aimlessly rides alone. The brief description of the meadow gives the reader a feeling of the setting but does not allow him to form a clear picture of it, since it is seen through the protagonist's distracted gaze.<sup>78</sup> At the same time, the meadow is traversed from two opposite directions. On the one hand, Livistros, in a typical spatial bridge, talks to himself and moves through the meadow (N 195–202); on the other, the warrior cupids appear from the distance, flying across the meadow and attacking the narrator (N 202-11). This combination of space as an atmospheric setting—what I would term "space presented"—and space as a three-dimensional notion, in other words, "space activated," is something new in Byzantine novelistic tradition.<sup>79</sup> The garden in the second dream—this time a closed and defined space into which Livistros enters (N 504)—is again an atmospheric setting that is created by Eros as an artist (N 506). Here the protagonist himself activates spatial notions by consciously exploring the garden (N

συμφύρασις, άλλὰ λεπτῶν ψηφίδων εὐφυὴς άρμογὴ τῶν εἰκονισμῶν τούτων ὅλων ἐζωογράφησε" (ibid., 196.45-50). One should note the similarity of vocabulary used to describe the didactic process (μυστηριωδέστερα, θαυμάσεις) to that in  $L \mathcal{C}R$ ; see above, notes 45 and 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup>On the three spaces, see also Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 322-28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup>A concomitant result of the author's insistence on *aporia* as a key concept to the narrative's progress in the romance (see above, note 50) is what can be termed the motif of the "distraction-in-amazement" gaze. A character walks through a space, and his gaze distractedly falls on various parts of the setting, thus evoking for the reader a puzzling picture that he has to piece together himself. See, for example, passages within the dream sequence: N 195–97, P 2751–52, N 310, N 469–72, N 509–11 + P 374, N 555–60. This motif does not seem to be of any importance in ancient Greek and Latin literature, where one only finds a viewer's gaze oscillating between two persons or objects (e.g., Theokritos 1.36–38, Heliodoros 7.4.2, Ovid *Metamorphoses* 5.164–67, Seneca *Thyestes* 707–11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup>Compare, for example, the way in which space is "presented," rather than "activated," in similar situations in the three Komnenian novels: Eugeneianos, *Drosilla and Charikles*, 4.325–5.171 (Charikles finds Drosilla sleeping in a garden; she wakes up, and a long conversation ensues); Prodromos, *Rhodanthe and Dosikles*, 3.43–78 (Dosikles and Rhodanthe enter a vineyard where they have an amorous conversation); Makrembolites, *Hysmine and Hysminias*, 2.1–11 (Kratisthenes and Hysminias enter Sosthenes' garden, where they see and describe the frescoes painted on the pavilion's walls). In all three cases, both movement in space and description of space are included, but they are clearly separated, so that the reader cannot perceive space as three-dimensional. Obviously, the subject needs further study.

514), only to come upon Eros and Rhodamne.<sup>80</sup> The difference between the meadow and the garden, a spatial opposition of open versus closed, is of primary importance for understanding Livistros's state of mind in the two dreams.

The central space in the romance's dream sequence is, of course, the palace of Eros. The atmospheric aspect of this space is very prominent, since what Livistros sees causes him to voluntarily acknowledge the power of the Erotokratia.81 The walled palace has a gate with a guard (N 271–75). Once in the courtyard (αὐλή),82 Livistros offers the reader yet another brief description of the setting seen through his astonished gaze (P 2714–17). In a narratorial intervention of the "garrulity" type (P 2718–21),83 he decides to focus on one architectural feature of the courtyard, namely, the impressive triumphal arch (P 2722-52), which is a three-dimensional version of the decorative porticoes used for the Eusebian canon tables in Byzantine Gospel manuscripts.<sup>84</sup> Having passed through the arch, Livistros first meets Desire and then Love. He is then led past a marble terrace and a pool, next enters the crowded Hall of Judgment, and faces Eros on his throne. After his judgment he is taken to the Room of Oaths, the innermost space in the palace of Eros. Thus in his first dream Livistros moves from an open space (the meadow) to an enclosed space (the courtyard), then to a closed but large space (the hall), and finally to a closed and small space (the room). Through this spatial motion from open to closed, the poet has depicted Livistros's growing anxiety and receding power of resistance as he finds himself gradually submitting to Eros.85

What at first appeared as an atmospheric setting ultimately proves to be, in narrative terms, a highly active space. The spatial opposition "open versus closed" is correlated to an opposition "freedom versus subjugation"—a point that is underlined throughout the dream, for example, in the inscription held by the guardian at the palace's gate (N 274–80 + P 2702–6). Moreover, this opposition is connected to the opposition "movement versus immobility," since movement does acquire in certain instances the meaning of "freedom (*qua* rebellion) from love" (N 190, P 280–81), while immobility is similarly correlated to the idea of "subjugation (*qua* submission) to love" (N 214, P 286). By looking back at the opposition "open versus closed" as expressed by the meadow of the first dream and the garden of the second, we may now conclude that this reflects in spatial terms the initial free state of Livistros as opposed to his final subjugated state at the end of the sequence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Note, in particular, the splendid traversal of space at N 530–36, with its dense verbal indentation (συναπαντῶ... συναπαντᾶ, βλέπει... βλέπει... βλέπω, στά... στήκω).

<sup>81</sup> See above, notes 28-29, for the references to "seeing."

<sup>82</sup> N 270, N 271, N 278, P 2708, P 2712, P 2715.

<sup>83</sup> On this device, see Agapitos, Narrative Structure, 78-81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Indicatively, see Athen. Bibl. Nat. 2364 of the 11th century (A. Marava-Chatzinikolaou and C. Toufexi-Paschou, Κατάλογος μικρογραφιῶν βυζαντινῶν χειρογράφων τῆς Ἐθνικῆς Βιβλιοθήκης τῆς Ἑλλάδος [Athens, 1978], 1: pls. 122–26); Athous Meg. Laur. A 42 of the 11th century (S. M. Pelekanidis et al., Οἱ θησαυροὶ τοῦ Ἁγίου օρους, ser. 1, Εἰκονογραφημένα χειρόγραφα [Athens, 1979], 3: pls. 29–30); and Vat. gr. 1158 of the 13th century (H. Buchthal and H. Belting, Patronage in Thirteenth-Century Constantinople: An Atelier of Late Byzantine Book Illumination and Calligraphy, DOS 16 [Washington, D.C., 1978], pls. 18–20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup>This device of growing anxiety joined to the traversal of an unfamiliar space has also been used in  $V \mathcal{C}C$  and  $K \mathcal{C}C$ , in a similar position within the narrative (Agapitos, *Narrative Structure*, 326–27).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup>Being outside the courtyard of the *Erotokratia* suggests freedom from love (N 249–52), while being inside the courtyard implies subjugation to love (N 256–64).

In concluding, I would like to suggest that the landscapes of the mind in *Livistros and Rhodamne*, far from being mere conventional patterns, are meaningful spatial entities that are firmly placed within the narrative process. The poet has succeeded in establishing his own, innovative spatial aesthetics of simultaneous formality and fluidity, where space is both presented and activated, where dreams are both realistic and fantastic, and where love's instruction is both explicitly and implicitly inculcated. The peculiar sensation and the narrative importance of these dreams can be fittingly summarized by a phrase of the Canadian novelist Robertson Davies: these are "dreams in which something significant is told, not in bold Civil Service narrative, but in a puzzle of ambiguity and omission."

University of Cyprus

#### **Appendix**

### A Preliminary Critical Edition of the Dream Sequence in Livistros and Rhodamne, Version α (= N 186–560)

Since no critical edition of the romance exists and none of the available printed editions of the individual manuscripts (S, N, P) or versions (E)<sup>1</sup> is satisfactory,<sup>2</sup> the foregoing analysis has been based on a preliminary edition of version α, of which I am preparing a full critical edition. The editorial method employed has been presented in a series of studies, to which the reader is referred for further information.<sup>3</sup> In general, for the first 1,300 verses of the romance the text is based on manuscript N, whose lacunae are supplemented from P whenever there is an agreement between P, E, and V. An attempt has been made to keep as closely as possible to the wording of the manuscripts, without "smoothing out" grammar, syntax, or metre. Editorial corrections are restricted to passages where none of the manuscripts offers any solution.

¹The printed editions are as follows: J. A. Lambert, Le roman de Libistros et Rhodamné publié d'après les manuscrits de Leyde et de Madrid avec une introduction, des observations grammaticales et un glossaire, Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Afdeeling Letterkunde, n.r., 35 (Amsterdam, 1935), for manuscripts N, S, and for version E; W. Wagner, Trois poèmes grecs du Moyen-Age (Berlin, 1881), 242–349, for manuscript N; D. I. Maurophrydis, Ἐκλογὴ μνημείων τῆς νεωτέρας ἐλληνικῆς γλώσσης (Athens, 1866), 1:324–428, for manuscript P. Corrections to the text of manuscript N by D. C. Hesseling are recorded in the apparatus of Lambert's edition. Further corrections have been suggested by E. Kriaras, Λεξικὸ τῆς Μεσαιωνικῆς Ἑλληνικῆς Δημώδους Γραμματείας (Thessalonike, 1969– ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See the detailed, but far from exhaustive, criticism by M. K. Chatzegiakoumis, Τὰ μεσαιωνικὰ δημώδη κείμενα: Συμβολὴ στὴ μελέτη καὶ τὴν ἔκδοσή τους, vol. 1: Λίβιστρος, Καλλίμαχος, Βέλθανδρος (Athens, 1977), 35–79.

³ P. A. Agapitos, "Libistros und Rhodamne: Vorläufiges zu einer kritischen Ausgabe der Version α," JÖB 42 (1992): 191–208; idem, "Ή ἔμμεση παράδοση τοῦ δημώδους μυθιστορήματος Λίβιστρος καὶ Ροδάμνη," Hellenika 42 (1991–92): 61–74; idem, "Ένα ἀκόμη σπάραγμα τοῦ μυθιστορήματος Λίβιστρος καὶ Ροδάμνη: Ό βατικανὸς κώδικας Barb. gr. 172," Hellenika 43 (1993): 337–59; idem, "Πρὸς μιὰ κριτικὴ ἔκδοση τοῦ μυθιστορήματος Λίβιστρος καὶ Ροδάμνη: Προβλήματα μεθόδου," in Prosa y verso en Griego medieval: Rapports of the International Congress "Neograeca Medii Aevi III," ed. J. M. Egea and J. Alonso (Amsterdam, 1996), 1–16; P. A. Agapitos and O. L. Smith, "Scribes and Manuscripts of Byzantine Vernacular Romances: Palaeographical Facts and Editorial Implications," Hellenika 44 (1994): 61–80.

## Sigla Atque Breviata

| E | Escurialensis $\Psi$ -IV-22, saec. XV exeuntis |
|---|--|
| N | Neapolitanus III-Aa-9, saec. XVI ineuntis      |
| P | Parisinus graecus 2910, saec. XV medii         |
| V | Vaticanus graecus 2391, ca. a. 1500            |

| Ch | Chatzegiakoumis | Lt | Lambert      |
|----|-----------------|----|--------------|
| Hs | Hesseling       | Ma | Maurophrydis |
| Kr | Kriaras         | Wa | Wagner       |

- addenda <> { } delenda
- [] supplenda in lacuna codicis
- † † locus corruptus

| a.c.  | ante correctionem | iter.   | iteravit          |
|-------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|
| add.  | addidit           | om.     | omittit, omittunt |
| cf.   | confer            | p.c.    | post correctionem |
| codd. | codices           | praeb.  | praebet           |
| coll. | collato, collatis | put.    | putat             |
| coni. | coniecit          | scr.    | scripsit          |
| corr. | correxit          | secl.   | seclusit          |
| del.  | delevit           | suppl.  | supplevit         |
| dist. | distinxit         | tempt.  | temptavit         |
| edd.  | editores          | trai.   | traiecit          |
| i.e.  | id est            | transp. | transposuit       |

transp. transposuit

N 186

#### ΑΦΗΓΗΣΙΣ ΛΙΒΙΣΤΡΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΡΟΔΑΜΝΗΣ

Όκάποτε ἐκάλεσεν ἡ ἑσπέρα τὴν ἡμέραν

| Okano te ekanecev ij eonepa vijv ijpepav                  | 11 100 |
|---|--------|
| καὶ ἔκλινεν ὁ ἥλιος καὶ ἐσέβηκεν ἡ νύκτα·                 |        |
| ένύσταξα έκ την μέριμναν την είχεν ή ψυχή μου,            |        |
| ἔπεσα νὰ ἀποκοιμηθῶ, καὶ ἄκουσε τί μὲ ἐφάνη.              |        |
| 'Ονείρωτος ἀφήγησις Λιβίστρου πολυπόνου.                  | N 189a |
| Έφάνη με ὅτι μόνος μου περίτρεχα λιβάδιν,                 | N 190  |
| λιβάδιν πανεξαίρετον μυριοανθισμένον                      |        |
| καὶ κρύον νερὸν γλυκόβρυτον, χιλιόδενδρα γεμάτον·         |        |
| χέρια ζωγράφου νά 'λεγες, ἂν εἶδες τὸ λιβάδιν,            |        |
| τὸ ἐποῖκαν χιλιοέμμορφον, μυριοχρωματισμένον.             |        |
| Έβλεπα τὸ ἀναλίβαδον, ἐπρόσεχα τὰ δένδρη,                 | N 195  |
| έπιτερπόμην τὰ φυτά, ἐθαύμαζον τὰς βρύσας,                |        |
| είς τὰ ἄνθη ὁ νοῦς μου ἐκρέμετον τὰ ἐγράμμιζαν τὸν τόπον, |        |
| μόνος καὶ μόνος ἔλεγα καὶ ἐνόσῷ περιεπάτουν:              |        |
| "Όπου εἰς τέτοιον ἄνθρωπος λιβάδιν κατουνέψει             |        |
| καὶ ζήσει εἰς τέτοιας χάριτας ζωῆς του τὰς ἡμέρας         | N 200  |
| καὶ χρήζει τὸν παράδεισον, λοιπὸν οὐκ ἔν' στρατιώτης."    |        |
| Καὶ ἐνόσῳ τὸ ἐπαράτρεχα τὸ ἔμνοστον λιβάδιν               |        |
| καὶ ὑπήγαινά το ἐνήδονα καὶ ἐσκόπιζα εἰς ἐκεῖνον,         |        |
| ἀπὸ μακρὰ ἀνετράνισα καὶ ἐβλέπω ἀρματωμένους,             |        |
| άνθρώπους ὄλους πτερωτοὺς καὶ ἔρχονται εἰς ἐμέναν,        | N 205  |
| μετὰ θυμοῦ ἐπέτοντο, ἔτρεχαν τὸ λιβάδιν·                  |        |
| καὶ ὡς τοὺς ἐνετράνισα πολλὰ ἐψυχοφοβήθην,                |        |
| έκ τὸ ἄλογόν μου ἐπέζευσα καὶ σύρνω τὸ σπαθίν μου·        |        |
| καὶ ὡς οὖ νὰ σύρω τὸ σπαθίν, ἐκεῖνοι ἐπέπεσάν με          |        |
| καὶ τριγυρία μου ἐστάθησαν καὶ ἀγριογλωσσοφωνίζουν        | N 210  |
| καὶ λέγουν: "Ρίψε τὰ ἄρματα, μὴ τώρα ὀκάτι πάθης."        |        |
| Καὶ ἐγὰ ὡς τοὺς εἶδα περισσούς, ὅλους ἀρματωμένους,       |        |
| τοὺς ὄλους νὰ ἔχουσιν πτερὰ καὶ νὰ ἀνασαίνουν φλόγα,      |        |
| περιεστάθην ἄπειρα, σχεδὸν ὅτι ἀπὲ τώρα                   |        |
| εἰς ἄδην ἐκατήντησα καὶ τὴν ζωὴν ἀφείθην·                 | N 215  |
|   |        |

N 186–92 lacuna in P N 188 ἐνύσταξα Hs (cf. ἐνύσταξα E V) : -ξεν N N 189a πολυπόνου Lt : πόνου N N 192 χιλιόδενδρα scripsi (cf. μυριώδενδραν E : μηριοδενδρὰ V) : χείλη δενδρῶν N : χίλια δενδρὰ Wa N 193 γὰρ νὰ ἔλεγες, ἱστόρισεν τὸ λυβάδιν P N 196 ἐπιτερπόμην] περιεπόθουν P βρύσεις P N 197 ὁ νοῦς ἔκειτον P τὰ² om. N ἐγράμμιζαν coni. Ch coll. ἐγράμιζα E : ἐγράμμησεν P : ἐζάληνα N τὸν τόπον N : ὁ πόθος P N 198 καὶ μόνος] ἐκεῖνος P καὶ² om. P N 199 ώσὰν ὅπου N P : ώσὰν del. Wa ἄνθρωπος om. P N 200 τὰς Wa : τὴς N τῆς ζωῆς του ἡμέρας P N 201 om. P N 201 καὶ Lt : χαὶ N N 202 τὸ¹ om. P ἔμνοστον] ἀνθόμνοστον P N 203 καὶ ἀπήγενα τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ ἐσκίρτουν εἰς ἐκεῖνο P ἐσκόπιζα Wa : ἐσκόπισα N N 204 ἀνέβλεψα καὶ βλέπω P N 205 ἤρχοντο πρὸς P N 206 ἐπέτοντο P : καὶ ποταποῦ N ἔτρεχαν Wa : ἔτρεχαν N : καὶ ἔτρεχον P N 207 om. P N 207 πολλὰ ἐψυχοφοβήθην scripsi : πολλοὺς ἐψυχοφοβήθην N N 208–11 hos versus post N 215 transp. P N 209 ὡς οὖ] ὥσπερ P σύρω P : ρίσω N ἐπέπεσαν Wa : ἐπόπεσαν N : ἔπεσάν P N 210 τριγύρου γάρ με ἔστησαν καὶ με θυμοῦ με λέγουν P N 211 κρίψαι P ὁκάτι τῶρα παθειν θέλεις P N 211 ροst hunc versum ἐγὰ ὡς σε εἴπα σύντροφε καλὲ συνοδοιπόρε, τοὺς ὅλλους εἶδα σοβαροὺς ὅλλους ἀρματομένους praeb.P N 213 ἄλλον νὰ ἔχουσι P φλόγαν P N 213 post hunc versum τόρα γὰρ νὰ βαστάζουσιν, σπαθία γεγυμνωμένα praeb.P N 213 περιεστάθην ἄπειρα καὶ εἰς ἄδην ἐκατέβην· καὶ ἀπήλπισα τοῦ ζῆν με ἔλεγα μόνος καὶ κατανοῦν μου· τίνες καὶ πόθεν ἔρχονται καὶ τί τὸσαύτην τὴν σπουδὴν ποιοῦσιν πρὸς ἐμέναν· καὶ ἐν ὄσω ταῦτα εἰς μέριμναν μόνος μου ἐλογιζόμην P N 214 περιαντιστάθην ἄπειρας

παρέξω ρίπτω τὸ σπαθίν, παρέξω τὸ δοξάριν, δένω τὰ χέρια, λέγω τους: "Δοῦλος σας {εἶμαι}, μὴ ἀποθάνω." Καὶ εἶς ἀπ' ἐκείνους, ἄνθρωπος πανεύμορφος εἰς εἶδος, πολλὰ ἔμμορφος, καλόκοπος εἰς σύνθεσιν καὶ σχῆμα, N 220 είχεν πτερά είς τους ὤμους του, ήτον άρματωμένος, ἦλθεν ἐκεῖνος ἥμερα, κρατεῖ με ἀπὸ τὸ χέριν, δένει με ἀπὸ τὸν τράχηλον καὶ λέγει με: "Ακολούθει, καὶ ἄφες τὸ θράσος τὸ πολύν, τίποτε οὐκ ώφελεῖ σε." 'Ηρξάμεθα νὰ τρέχωμεν ἐκεῖνο τὸ λιβάδιν, ἀπέδω μου καὶ ἀπέκει μου καὶ ὀπίσω μου καὶ ὀμπρός μου N 225 είχα τοὺς ἀδιάκριτους τοὺς ἐρωτοδημίους. Καὶ πῶς νὰ εἴπω, φίλε μου, καὶ πῶς νὰ σὲ ἀφηγοῦμαι τοῦ καθενὸς τὰς ἀπειλὰς καὶ τοὺς φοβερισμούς του; Όμως τὰ νουθετήματα τοῦ ἑνὸς νὰ σὲ συντύχω, N 230 τοῦ ἑνὸς ὁποῦ ἐκ τὸν τράχηλον ἔδησεν κ' ἔσυρνέ με:

#### Λίβιστρον ἔρως νουθετεῖ καὶ ποθοπαραγγέλλει.

"Άνθρωπε, θέλεις νὰ σὲ εἰπῶ καὶ δέξου το ὡς θέλεις. "Αν οὐκ ἐπλάστης ἐκ τὴν γῆν καὶ οὐκ ἤσουν ἐκ τὸν κόσμον, καὶ ἤσουν σπορὰ ἐκ τὸ σίδερον καὶ ἀπόκομμα ἐκ τὴν πέτραν, ού μὴ τὸ εἶχα παράξενον ποσῶς ἂν οὐκ αἰσθάνου N 235 την δύναμιν την ἄπειρον τῶν ἐρωτοκρατόρων· διότι καὶ πέτρα καὶ δενδρὸν καὶ σίδηρον καὶ λίθος καὶ πᾶσα φύσις ἄψυχος καὶ ἐμψυχωμένη πᾶσα έκτὸς ἐρωτοϋπολήψεως οὐκ ἔνι ὁδὸς νὰ ζήση. Καὶ σύ, ὁ τοσοῦτος ἄνθρωπος, ὁ ἐξαίρετος καὶ νέος, N 240 άναισχυντείς τὸν Ἐρωταν καὶ Πόθον οὐ ψηφίζεις; "Αρτι ἂν μὲ ἀκούεις, συγκλίθησε, ρίξε τὸ ἀγέρωχόν σου, τράχηλον κλίνε εἰς τὸν ζυγὸν τῆς ἐρωτοδουλείας, ἔμπα είς τοῦ Πόθου τὸν δεσμόν, δέθησε είς τὴν Άγάπην, πρόσπεσε είς την 'Ασχόλησιν, τὸν Κρεμασμὸν ἰδέ τον, N 245 καὶ αὐτοὶ κἂν νὰ εἰποῦν τὸν Ἐρωταν, νὰ τὸν παρακαλέσουν, καὶ ἀπὲ τὸ τόσον μανικὸν τὸ κατ' ἐσοῦ ἐκακώθην, νὰ μεταπέση, νὰ ἀλλαγῆ καὶ νὰ σὲ συμπαθήση.

N 216 τὸ κοντάριν P N 217 ἔδυσα καὶ τὰς χείρας μου, καὶ λέγω μὴ ἀποθάνω P εἶμαι secl. Wa N 218 τῶ εἴδη P N 219 εὕμορφος Ρ καὶ σχῆμα] εἰς πλάσιν Ρ Ν 220 καὶ ἀράσματα ἐβάσταν Ρ Ν 221 οm. Ρ Ν 222 δύνει Ρ Ν 223 πολύ Ρ N 224 ἀρξάμεθα P Ν 225–26 ἀπέδω μου καὶ ἀπέκει μου καὶ τοὺς ποινηλατιστάς μου P Ν 226 ἀδιάκριτους Wa (cf. P 2705) : ἀδικόκριτους put. Ch : ἀδικρίτους N **N 227** πῶς νὰ] τὶ νὰ σε P εἴπω P : εἰπῶ N **N 229** νουθετίσματα P **N 230** om. P N 230 κ' ἔσυρνέ scripsi : καὶ συρνέ Ν N 231 atramento in N, sed minio exaratus in P λύβιστρον P : λύβεστρος Ν νουθετεί καὶ ποθοπαραγγέλλει Ν : παρενεί ἔρως καὶ παραγγέλλει Ρ Ν 232 θέλεις¹ om. Ρ νὰ σὲ εἰπῶ scripsi coll. V : ἄν σε εἴπω τίποτε P : νασιωπῶ Ν Ν 233 ἐπλάστης Wa : ἐπλάσθης P : ἐπλάστην Ν τοῦ κόσμου Ρ Ν 234 ἤσουν ἀπὸ τὸ σίδηρον Ρ άπόκομμα Wa : ἀπόκομαν Ρ : ἀπίκομα Ν έκ πέτρας Ρ Ν 235 εἶχον . . . ἐαν . . . ἰσθάνου Ρ Ν 237 δενδρὸν P: δρενδρὸν N- σίδηρος P- N 238 ἄψυχος Wa (cf. ἄψυχος V et ἄψυχον E): ἔμψυχος P: ἄπασα N- N 239 χωρὶς ἔρωτος, ύπόληψιν ούκ έχει Ρ έρωτο πολίψεως Ν Ν 240 ό νέος Ρ Ν 241-43 αν είγες καὶ σὶ τὸν ἔρωτα αὐθέντην εἰς τὸν κόσμον. τοῦ πόθου αν ησαι δουλευτης λύζιος της άγάπης· ἐὰν ὅλως εἰσακούσης μου δούλωσιν ὑπογράψης, οὐκ ἀστοχὰσε τὸ ἐπιθυμεῖς, μάλλον μὲν οὖν οὐ χάνεις Ρ Ν 242 συγκλίθησε scripsi (cf. συνκλήθησε Ε et κλήθισε V) : συνθλίβεσε Ν Ν 244 ἔμπα] έλθὲ Ρ δέθησε Ρ: δέθητε Ν Ν 245 πρόσπεσον Ρ Ν 246 αὐτοὶ κἂν νὰ Ch: αὐτοί κανα Ν: αὐτοὶ νὰ σὲ Ρ Ν 247 ἀπὸ Ρ Ν 248 νὰ μεταθέση τὴν ὀργὴν Ρ άλλαγῆ Wa : ἀλγῆ Ν

| N 250 |
|-------|
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
| N 255 |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
| N 260 |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
| N 265 |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
| N 270 |
| 270a  |
| 270b  |
| N 271 |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
| N 275 |
| N 275 |
| N 275 |
| N 275 |
|       |

N 249 αν σε εἰπῶ καὶ ἀνθρώπινα, θὲς ὅτι οὐδὲν ἐπήρθης P οὐδὲν νὰ W α: οὐδένα N N 250 ἀμνήμων νὰ εἴσαι τοῦ ἔρωτος οὐδε ἕν νὰ σε ψηλαφήση P τὸν ψηφίζης scripsi (cf. ἀνεψιφήσις E): σε ψυφήζει N N 251 τί] σὺ P καὶ post εἶσαι add. P N 252 σκέψου το ἐὰν ἀρμόζη P N 253 ἐρωτοκρατείας P (cf. ὁρωτοκρατορίας E et ἐροτοκρατόρων V): ἐρωτομανίας N N 254 οὐ λέγω σοι τοῦτο P N 255 ὄσον P (cf. ὄσα E V): ώσὰν N καὶ¹ οπ. P καὶ²] εἰς P N 256 ἐμπῆς] ἐμπέσης P N 257 ὡς ἔχει νὰ τὸ μάθης P N 258 πληρωφορήθησαι ἀπὶ ἐμὲν ὅτι τίποτε οὐκ ἣσαι P N 259 σε . . . σε] σοι . . . σοι P καὶ² οπ. P N 260 ὅταν ἐμβῆς P ὑπᾶς P W 258 πληρωφορήθησαι ἀπὶ ἐμὲν ὅτι τίποτε οὐκ ἣσαι P N 261 ἔμπα] σέβα P χαμηλὸς] ταπεινὸς P N 262 ποίησαι P βλέφαρον ώσὰν] πρόσωπον καθὰ P N 263 δείσαι P πέσε καὶ εἰς τὴν γὴν ἐμπρός του P N 264 καρδίας P: καρδία P σου οπ. P παρακαλέσε P N 265 ἔμπης] σεβῆς P πρόσεξον ἐπάνω εἰς τὸν κοσμύτην P N 267 ἀνάγνωσον P γράμματα P: γράμμα P εἰς ἐκεῖνον] πρὸς ἐκείνην P N 268 πολλὰς] φορὰς P τὰς απιε ἐρωτονουθεσίας add. P N 269 ὁκάποτε P ἤλθομεν τὴν κατούναν P N 270 καὶ εἰς τὴν αὐλὴν ἐφθάσαμεν P N 270b καὶ απιε λέγει add. P πόνου] πόθου P N 271 μὲν P: ἐμὲν P μου] με P ὅτι ὁκάτις οπ. P N 272 ἦτον οπ. P ἐξεσπαθομένος P: ξεσπαθωμένος coni. P Ν 273 εἰς post πολλὰ add. P σχῆμα] βλέμαν P N 274 εἶχεν εἰς χέριν του χαρτὶν P N 275 ἔγεμεν οπ. P ἄκουσον P: ἄκο P: ἄκο P0: ἄκο P1 αλθο δὲμῆς P1 αλθο οπ. P1 αλθο P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P2 καὶ² οπ. P1 ακουσον P2 ακον P1 αλθο P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P καὶ² οπ. P1 ακουσον P2 ακον P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P καὶ² οπ. P1 απονον P2 ακον P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P2 καὶ² οπ. P1 απονον P2 ακον P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P2 καὶ² οπ. P2 απονον P2 απον P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P2 καὶ² οπ. P2 απονον P2 απον P2 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P2 καὶ² οπ. P2 απονον P2 απον P3 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P3 απον P3 απον P3 αν δὲ θελήση νὰ ἐμβῆ P

#### 134 NARRATIVE PRESENTATION IN *LIVISTROS AND RHODAMNE*

| αζ ύπογράψη δοῦλος του καὶ αζ γίνεται έδικός του,         | N 280  |
|---|--------|
| καὶ τότε νὰ ἰδῆ χάριτας ἃς ἔχει ὁ ποθοκράτωρ·             | P 2702 |
| αν δὲ μουρτεύση νὰ ἐμβῆ, μὴ ὑπογράψη δοῦλος,              |        |
| αζ έγνωρίση δήμιος του γίνεται τὸ σπαθίν μου,             |        |
| καὶ ἐγὼ πικρός του τύραννος, μετὰ ἀδιακρισίας             | P 2705 |
| νὰ κόψω τὸ κεφάλιν του, νὰ λείψη ἀπὸ τὸν κόσμον."         |        |
| Καὶ παρακάτω ἔγραφεν ἐκ τὴν γραφὴν ἐκείνην:               |        |
| "Αὐλῆς πορτάρης εὔμορφος καὶ πόρτα τῆς ᾿Αγάπης."          |        |
| Καὶ ὁποῦ τὰς πόρτας ἔβλεπε, λέγει με: "Ανάγνωσέ τα."      |        |
| Ανέγνωσα τὰ γράμματα καὶ σφόδρα ἐθλιβόμην,                | P 2710 |
| λέγω: "Απεδὰ δουλώνομαι εἰς τοῦ Έρωτος τὸ τόξον."         |        |
| Καὶ τότε ἀπέσω εἰς τὴν αὐλὴν ἐσέβημεν ἀντάμα,             |        |
| έγὼ καὶ ὁ δήμιος ἔρως μου καὶ οἱ ποινηλατισταί μου.       |        |
| Καὶ τί νὰ σὲ εἴπω, φίλε μου, καὶ τί νὰ σὲ ἀφηγοῦμαι,      |        |
| τὴν σύνθεσίν τε τῆς αὐλῆς, τὰ ζῶα ἄπερ εἶχεν,             | P 2715 |
| τὰ κρύα νερά, τὰ δένδρα <της>, τὴν ζωδιοφισκίναν,         |        |
| τὴν ἱστορίαν τοῦ τοίχου της, τὰ ζῶα τὰ περιεπατοῦσαν;     |        |
| Καὶ τέως <τὸ πᾶν> καταλεπτὸν ἂν σὲ τὸ θέλω λέγειν,        |        |
| θέλω καιροῦ παραδρομὴν καὶ πλάτος ὅλου χρόνου·            |        |
| καὶ ἄφες τοῦτα τὰ πολλὰ καὶ ἕναν νὰ σὲ εἴπω,              | P 2720 |
| τὸ εἶδα εἰς τὸ ὀσπίτιν τοῦ Ἐρωτος, <φίλε>, καὶ ἐξενίσθην. |        |
| Τὰ πρῶτα ἐσέβην τροπικὴν καὶ ἦτον ὁ πάτος ὅλος            |        |
| ἀπὸ χρυσολιθώματος ἐρωτοϊστορισμένος,                     |        |
| νὰ ἔνι διάφορα δενδρά, εὔμορφα καὶ ὡραῖα·                 |        |
| καὶ εἰς τοὺς κλώνους τῶν δενδρῶν τοῦ καθενὸς πρὸς ἔναν,   | P 2725 |
| πουλία τὰ μὲν νὰ κάθηνται, τὰ δὲ <νὰ> ὁρμοῦν πετᾶσαι,     |        |
| καὶ ἄλλα νὰ χαμαιπέτουνται ἀπὸ κλαδὶν εἰς ἄλλον.          |        |
| Καὶ μέσα εἰς τὸ μουσίωμα τὸ ἐρωτοϊστορισμένον             |        |
| μάρμαρον ἦτον πράσινον καὶ ἦν λελατομημένον               |        |
| τοῦ Ἐρωτος τὰ γενέθλια ἐκ τέχνης παραξένου,               | P 2730 |
| πῶς ἡ ἀφροδίτη τὸν γεννᾳ τὸν Ἐρωτα τῶν τόξων              |        |
| καὶ πῶς αὐτὸς ἐδόξευσεν πάλιν τὴν Ἀφροδίτην.              |        |
| Καὶ εἶχεν ἀπάνω ἐνύστερον τῆς τροπικῆς ἡ τέχνη            |        |
| τὴν κρίσιν τὴν ἐδίδαξεν ἀλλέξανδρος τοῦ μήλου,            |        |
| καὶ ποίαν ἐκατεδίκαζεν καὶ ποίαν τὸ μῆλον δίδει.          | P 2735 |
| Καὶ εἰς τὰ τετρακογχώματα τῆς τροπικῆς ἐκείνης            |        |
| ἦσαν ἐκ τέχνης ἔρωτες ἀπὸ ψιλοῦ γυψίνου,                  |        |
| νὰ στέκουν καὶ εἰς τὰ χείλη τους νὰ κείτεται καλάμιν·     |        |
| <μυστήριον> εἶδα φοβερὸν κἂν ἀπὸ πνοῆς ἀνέμου,            |        |
|   |        |

N 280 ἐδικός του οm. P P 2702-63 om. N P 2704 δημίος P, corr. Ma P 2707 ἐκ scripsi (cf. ἐκ E) : ἀκ P P 2708-9 minio exarati in P P 2711 ἀπεδὰ scripsi (cf. ἀπεδὰ E V) : γὰρ ἀπάρτη P P 2713 δήμιος Ma (cf. δήμιος E) : δέσμιος P πυναλησταί P, corr. Ma P 2716 της addidi τὴν ζωδιοφισκίναν scripsi (cf. τὰ ζώδια τῆς φησκύνας E) : τῆς ζωοπισκιδίας P P 2718 τὸ πᾶν supplevi ex E V P 2721 φίλε addidi (cf. E V) P 2722 τὴν post ἐσέβην praeb. P, quod delevi προπικὴν P, corr. Ma P 2724 ἔνι scripsi (cf. ἔναν E) : βλέπει P P 2726 νὰ add. Ma P 2728 τὸ μουσίωμα Ch coll. E V : τὴν μουσικὴν P P 2731 ἀφροδίτης P τῶν τόξων] an τοξότην? (cf. τοξότην V et ἐρωτοδοξήτην E) P 2733 ἐνύστερον scripsi (cf. ἐνύστρον E et ἤστερον V) : ἰστορισθὴν P ἡ τέχνη scripsi (cf. τῆς τέχνης E V) : τὸ γένος P P 2738 πρὸς ἐσέναν post κείτεται praeb. P, quod delevi καλάμιν e versu sequenti huc traieci P 2739 μυστήριον supplevi ex E V

| κὰν ἀπὸ ἀνάβασιν νεροῦ, κὰν ἄλλως πως οὐκ οἶδα. Εἶς μὲν παρ' εἶς ἐφώναζεν καὶ ὁ πρῶτος εἶπε τοῦτο: "Φεῦγε τὸν τόπον τὸν πατεῖς, τοῦ πόθου εἶσαι ἀντιστάτης"· καὶ ἄλλος ἐλάλει: "Νὰ σφαγῆ τῆς ἀπιστίας ὁ δοῦλος"· καὶ ἄλλος ἐνάλει: "Τὸ πῶς ἀμπνονοῦς κοὶ οἰδὸν πὸν κονστάλ έναν".                | P 2740          |
|--|-----------------|
| καὶ ἄλλος: "Τὸ πῦρ ἀμηχανεῖ καὶ οὐδὲν τὸν καταφλέγει"· καὶ ὁ τέταρτος ἐφώναζεν: "Δουλώθησε καὶ στέκου." Ἐβλεπον ἐγὰ τὴν τροπικήν, ἐθαύμαζα τὰ πάντα, ἐξενιζόμην τὸ εὔτεχνον τὸ μάρμαρον ἐκεῖνον, τοῦ στέγους εἶχα φοβερὸν τὴν ἱστορογραφίαν  | P 2745          |
| καὶ τῶν τεσσάρων τὰς φωνὰς ἐκείνων τῶν ἐρώτων, ό νοῦς μου ἐπεριεκρέματο, ἔφριξεν, ἔτρεμέν τας καὶ εἶχα τὸν νοῦν μου εἰς αὐτὸν καὶ εἰς τοῦτο καὶ εἰς ἐκεῖνο, καὶ ἀπλῶς τὸ ποῖον οὐδὲν εἶχα πρῶτον νὰ ἐπαινέσω.  | P 2750          |
| Ώραν ἐποίησα περισσὴν νὰ στέκω καὶ νὰ βλέπω τὸ τοιοῦτον τὸ παράξενον τοῦ Ἐρωτος τὸ ὀσπίτιν καὶ ὁποῦ μὲ ἐμηνύσασιν, νὰ στέκω νὰ τοὺς βλέπω· καὶ ἐγὼ χασμένος νὰ ἵσταμαι καὶ ὁ νοῦς μου νὰ θαυμάζη τὰς τοιαύτας μυριοχάριτας ἃς ἔβλεπον ἐν ὀνείρῳ. Καὶ τέως μετὰ ὥρας ἄπειρον ἐξέβην καὶ ἐκεῖνος,  | P 2755          |
| έκεινος όπου με ἔσυρνεν τραχηλοδεσμωμένον· παίρνει γουν πάλιν το σχοινιν μετὰ τῆς μιᾶς χειρός του και ἡ ἄλλη περιέπλεκε διὰ νὰ μὲ συχνοτερίση· και ἄρτι μὲ λέγει: "Πρόσεχε, τὰ σὲ ἤλεγα εἰς τὸν δρόμον   | P 2760          |
| νὰ τὰ φυλάξης, ἄνθρωπε, μὴ νὰ σὲ συμπαθήση." Εἰς ὕπνον του ἐσυνήντησεν ὁ Λίβιστρος τὸν Πόθον.  | P 2763<br>N 433 |
| Άμα τὸ ἐβγῆν τὴν τροπικὴν συναπαντῷ με ὁ Πόθος, ἄνδρας πολλὰ πανεύμορφος, ἄσπρος, ξανθὸς τὴν τρίχαν, εἶχεν εἰς τὸ κεφάλιν του στεφάνιν ἀπὸ δάφνης, εἶχεν καὶ εἰς τὰ χέρια του κλαδὶν οἶον τὸ στεφάνιν καὶ εὐθὺς ὡς μὲ ὑπήντησεν, ἤρξατο νὰ μὲ λέγη   | N 435           |
| λόγους ὡς δικασίματος δῆθεν καὶ νουθεσίας: "Θαυμάζω ἀπάρτι τὸν θυμὸν τῆς Ἐρωτοκρατίας, τὸ πῶς εἰς ἔνα ἄνθρωπον μακροθυμεῖ τοσοῦτον, τὸ πῶς οὐκ ἤσουν δοῦλος του, πῶς τὸν ἀναισχυντήθης, τὸ τόξον του ἦργεν εἰς ἐσὲν καὶ οὐκ ἐτόξευσέν σε· φρίττω τὸ πῦρ τὸ καυστικὸν τὸ εἰς χεῖρας του βαστάζει, | N 440           |
| πῶς ἀκόμη οὐκ ἐφλόγησεν τὴν ὅλην σου καρδίαν."   | N 445           |

P 2740 καν Ma : έὰν P ανάβασι P, cott. Ma P 2742 τόπον Ch coll. E V : πόθον P P 2744 τὸν Ma (cf. τὸν V) : τὸ P P 2748 φοβερὰν coni. Ma P 2755 μέναν post μὲ praeb. P, quod delevi : μὲ ἀνεμήνυσεν Ma P 2760 παίρνει Ch coll. ἐπέρνη E : φέρνει P μιᾶς coni. Ma : ένὸς P N 433 atramento exaratus in N του P : τὸν N ἐσυνήντησεν P : ἐσυνέντησεν N λύβεστρος N N 434 ante ἄμα add. καὶ P εὐγῆ N N 435 ἀνὴρ πολλὰ εὔμορφος P ξανθὸς] λαμπρὸς P N 436 εἰς τὴν κεφαλήν του ἔκειτο στέφανος P N 437 τὰς χείρας P ὄμοιον ὅσπερ στεφάνιν P N 438 ὡς] καθώς P N 439 δικασίματα P N 440 ἀπάρτι Ch : ἀκόμι P : μάρμη N N 441 πῶς εἰς ἐσέναν ἄνθρωπε P τοσοῦτον] τοσαῦτα P N 442 τὸ πῶς] πῶς ὡς P ἐνεσχυντίθης P N 443 τὸ τόξον του ἦργεν] οὐκ οἶτον τὸ τόξον P ἦργεν scripsi (cf. ἤρχισεν E) : εἴργεν N : οὐκ οἶτον P καὶ ἐκατετόξευσαι P N 444 του βαστάζει scripsi coll. P E V : σου βαστάζεις N φρίττω εἰς τὸ πῦρ τὸ βαστάζει εἰς τὰ χέρια του P N 445 πῶς οὺ φλογίζει ἀκόμι P

Τέως λέγει μου: "Έγνωρίζεις με;" καὶ εἶπα τον: "Όχι" ταῦτα-"Έγώ μαι ὁ Πόθος," λέγει με καὶ εἶπα τον: "Προσκυνῶ σε, τρέμω την έξουσίαν σου, φρίττω την δύναμίν σου, δουλώνομαι είς τὸν Ἐρωταν, λίζιος του νὰ ὑπογράψω." "Έδὲ ἄν φρονῆς καὶ δουλωθῆς," ἐκεῖνος μὲ ἀπεκρίθην, N 450 "καὶ πέσης εἰς τὸ ἔγγραφον τῆς Ἐρωτοκρατίας, χρόνον γλυκὺν παρέτρεχες, ἡμέρας ἀνωδύνους, ζωὴν πολλὰ παράξενον ἔζησες ἀπὲ τώρα." Ένόσω ἐσυντύχαινα τὸν Πόθον καὶ ἔβλεπά τον, ἔφθασεν ἄλλη ἐρωτικὴ γυναῖκα ἐξηρηγμένη, N 455 ευμορφος, καλοχάραγος, ἀσκέπαστος, μακρέα· τὸ χρῶμα τῶν μαλλίων της ἦτον ξανθὸν ὀλίγον, είς δύο πλεμμένα χαμηλά καὶ είς τόπους νὰ σγουρίζουν, στεφάνιν είς τὸ κεφάλιν της είχεν ἀπὸ μυρσίνην, μυρσίνη ήτον σύγκαρπος καὶ εἰς τόπους εἰγεν ἄνθη, N 460 ροῦχον πανευμορφότατον ἐφόρει ἀποκάτω, χρυσόασπρον δίχα ζώσματος, ἐπάνω μετὰ γούνας· καὶ εἰς τὸ ε̈ν τὸ χέριν της τριαντάφυλλον ἐκράτει καὶ εἰς τὸ ἄλλον της χαρτόπουλον καὶ τὸ ἔγραφεν οὐκ οἶδα. Καὶ ἐκεῖνος ὅπου μὲ ἔσυρνεν: "Η Αγάπη εἶναι," μὲ λέγει, N 465 "καὶ ἄρτι προσκύνησον αὐτήν, δουλώθησε εἰς αὔτην, P 2796 καὶ εἰπὲ αὐτὴν νὰ γένηται μεσίτης ὡς διὰ ἐσένα, P 2797 όμόνοιαν είς τὸν Ἐρωταν νὰ ποίση μὲ τὸν Πόθον, N 398 νὰ εἰποῦσιν τίποτες διὰ ἐσὲν καὶ νὰ σὲ συμπαθήση." Ήλθεν ή Άγάπη, ἐσίμωσεν καὶ ἐστάθη μὲ τὸν Πόθον N 400 καὶ λέγει ὡς πρὸς τὸν Ἐρωτα τάχα καὶ πρὸς τὸν Πόθον: "Διὰ τοῦτον ἐθυμώνετον προχθὲς ὁ ἐρωτοκράτωρ, διὰ τοῦτον ἦτον ὁ βιασμὸς καὶ ἡ ἔκστασις ἡ τόση;" Καὶ εἶπα την: "Ναί," καὶ τὸ εἰπεῖν ταῦτα ἐπροσκύνησά την, ἔπεσα είς τὰ ποδάρια της καὶ τέτοια τὴν ἐλάλουν: N 405 "'Αγάπη, δούλη τοῦ Ἔρωτος, γνήσια του συγγενίδα, καὶ Πόθε, δοῦλε τοῦ Ἐρωτος καὶ γνήσιε συγγενή του, ούκ ήξευρα τὸν Ἐρωταν νὰ δουλωθῶ εἰς ἐκείνον, N 408 τόσον μη μὲ κακίσητε, μη μὲ έξορισθητε, P 2807

 ${f N}$  446 τέως ἐὰν σε εἰπῶ γνωρίζεις με καὶ ὄχι ταῦτα εἶπον  ${f P}$   ${f N}$  449 βουλώνομαι  ${f P}$  λίζιος  ${f P}$  : ζηλιός  ${f N}$  ύπογράψω Ρ: γένω Ν Ν 450 ἐδὲ ἄν] ἐὰν Ρ ἐπεκρίθην Ρ Ν 451 ἔγγραφον Wa : ἔγραφον Ν : πρόγραφον Ρ Ν 452 παρέτρεχες scripsi : ἐπέτρεχες Ν : ἐπαρέτυχες Ρ Ν 453 καὶ ante ζωὴν add. Ρ πολλὰ Ρ : πολλὴν Ν ἔζησας ἀπὸ τότε Ρ Ν 454 καὶ ante ἐνόσφ add. Ρ καὶ post Πόθον om. Ν Ν 455 ἐξηρημένη Ρ Ν 456 μακρέα] ώραῖα Ρ Ν 457 ξανθὸν ὀλίγον scripsi (cf. ὑπὸξανθήζη ὁλίγον Ε): ὡσὰν τὸ γάλα N: ὡς καστανοῦχον Ρ Ν 458 πλεμμένα scripsi: πλεμένη N: πλεγμένη Ρ σγουρίζουν P: γυρίζουν N N 459 εἰς om. P εἶχεν] ἤτον P μυρσίνης P N 460 μυρσίνη P: σμυρσύνη N καὶ ἔνι ἡ μυρσίνη σύγκαρπος νὰ ἔχη καὶ εἰς τόπους ἄνθη P **N 461** πανευμορφότατον] λατινοκόκοπον P **N 462** χρυσόασπρον scripsi : χρυσὸν ἄσπρον N : χρύσαπρον P ἄνευ γούνης P N 463 sic praeb. P : καὶ εἰς τὸ χέρην της ἐβάσταζεν τριαντάφιλα ἡ κόρη N N 464 χαρτὶν P τὸ $^2$ ] τὰ P N 465 καὶ om. P ὅπου scripsi : ὁπου N : ὁποῦ P εἴπε μοι ὅτι ἀγάπη ἔνι P P 2796/7 καὶ ἄρτι δουλώθησε καὶ αὐτή μεσίτης ώς δια ἐσένα Ν Ρ 2796 αὐτήν Μα: αὐτὸν Ρ Ρ 2797 ώς δια ἐσένα Ν: εἰς ἐσέναν Ρ Ν 398 ποίση μὲ] ποιήσωμεν P N 399 τίποτε εἰς ἐσέναν P καὶ] εἰς τὸ P N 400 ἐστάθην εἰς τὸν τόπον P N 401 om. P καὶscripsi (cf. καὶ E) : ώς N  $\mathbf{N}$  403 om. P  $\mathbf{N}$  διὰ τοῦτον Wa : διετοῦτον N  $\mathbf{N}$  τόση Wa : τόσης N  $\mathbf{N}$  404 την om. P καὶ προσκυν $\hat{\omega}$  την P N 405 τοια $\hat{\omega}$ τα P N 406 γνησία P N 407  $\hat{\omega}$ ν ήσουν οὐκ ἐγνώριζα καὶ ὁλοχωρικευόμην P  $\Pi$ όθε Wa : πόθεν N συγγενή Wa: συγγενό N του scripsi coll. E: της N edd. N 408 καὶ ante οὐκ add. P P 2807 praeb. P E V: om. N

| ποίσετε τίποτε εἰς ἐμέν, ᾿Αγάπη μου καὶ Πόθε,             | N 409 |
|---|-------|
| συντύχετε τὸν Ἐρωταν, παρακαλέσετέ τον:                   | N 410 |
| "Άνθρωπος ἦτον χωρικός, τίς εἶσαι οὐκ ἤξευρέ σε,          |       |
| {καὶ} δι' αὐτὸν οὐκ ἐδουλώνετο εἰς τὸ ἐξουσιαστικόν σου." |       |
| Δι' ἐμέναν ποίσετε ἐγγυηταί, λόγους καλοὺς εἰπέτε,        |       |
| καὶ ἐγὰ νὰ Ἰμόσω εἰς τοῦ Ἐρωτος τὸ τόξον καὶ τὴν φλόγαν,  |       |
| νὰ εἶμαι ἀπὲ τώρα δοῦλος του καὶ τοῦ θελήματός του."      | N 415 |
| Πλην ως έλάλουν, φίλε μου, καὶ τοὺς ἐπαρεκάλουν,          |       |
| αν είχον φύσεις των ληστών να με έψυχοπονοῦσαν.           |       |
| Καὶ ἀφότου ἐπαρεκάλεσα μετὰ πολλῶν δακρύων,               |       |
| σκύπτει ἡ ᾿Αγάπη, ἀπὸ τὴν γῆν σηκώνει με καὶ λέγει:       |       |
| "Πόθε μου, διὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον τοῦτον νὰ τὸν εἰποῦμε         | N 420 |
| τὸν ποθοερωτοκράτορα μὴ νὰ τὸν συμπαθήση."                |       |
| Καὶ ὁ Πόθος μὲ εἶπεν: "Σώπασε, τίποτε μὴ λυπᾶσαι·         |       |
| έπεὶ ἀπεδὰ δουλώνεσαι καὶ ὀμνύεις νὰ μὴ ἀπιστήσης,        |       |
| ἔχεις καὶ κόρης ἠθικῆς εὐγενικὴν ἀγάπην                   |       |
| καὶ ἀπὸ Ἐρωταν συμπάθειαν καὶ τὴν ἐμὴν φιλίαν."           | N 425 |
| Καὶ εἶπεν ὁποῦ μὲ ἐφύλαττεν τὸν ἔρωταν ἐκεῖνον:           |       |
| "Άς ἔλθη τώρα μετὰ ἐσὲν ἐδῶ ὁποῦ δικάζει,                 |       |
| νὰ γένηται συμπάθειος του, νὰ μόση εἰς τὸ πτερόν του,     |       |
| καὶ τῆς ἀγάπης τὸν δεσμὸν νὰ ἐπάρῃ διὰ τὴν κόρην."        |       |
| Έμετεστάθην ἀπ' ἐμὲν ἡ ἀγάπη μὲ τὸν Πόθον,                | N 430 |
| άλλήλως νὰ χειροκρατοῦν καὶ νὰ κρυφομιλοῦσιν.             |       |
| Καὶ ἀφότου ἐκεῖ ἀπεσώθημεν εἰς τὴν ἐρωτοδίκην,            | N 432 |
| Πάλιν λαλεῖ καταλεπτὸν ὁ Λίβιστρος ὁ ξένος                | N 359 |
| τὰς τῆς φισκίνας συμφορὰς τὰς εἶδεν ἐν ὀνείρφ.            | N 360 |
| ἦτον χαμόγειος ἡλιακὸς κτιστὸς ἀπὸ μαρμάρου,              |       |
| γύρου του λεφτοκάλαμα λατομημένα στήκουν,                 |       |
| καὶ τὸ καθέναν ἔμπροσθεν εἶχεν ἱστορισμένα                |       |
| ζωδία ἐρωτιδόπουλα ἔμμορφα †ἐστανωμένα†,                  |       |
| καὶ ζῶα μικρὰ ἐκαθίζονταν εἰς τὸ στηθαῖον τριγύρου,       | N 365 |
|   | 2.000 |

N 409 ποιῆσεται P τίποτε P: τίποτες N Πόθε] πότε P N 411 ἢτον P: ἤμουν N καὶ ante τίς add. N N 412 καὶ seclusi (cf. E 346) αύτὸ P ἐδουλώνωμου N N 413 καὶ ante δι' add. N ποίσετε] πέσεται P N 414 νὰ ὁμόσω εἰς τὸν ἔρωτα P N 415 ἀπὸ P δοῦλος] φίλος P N 416 καὶ τοὺς] καὶ ὡς τὸν P N 417 εἶχον scripsi (cf. ἤχα E) : ἦτον N P φύσεις scripsi (cf. φύσιν Ε) : φύσις N P edd. τοῦ ληστοῦ Ν Εψυχοπονοῦσαν scripsi (cf. ψυχοπονέση με ἦχαν Ε) : ἐψυχοπονέθην Ν P N 418 ἀφότου] ἀφ' οὕ τὸν (τοῦ p.c.) P N 419 πίπτει ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν ἡ ἀγάπη P N 420 νὰ] ἃς P N 421 τὸν ποθοερωτοκράτορα scripsi coll. V : τὸν ἐρωτοποθοκράτορα P : τοῦ πόθου ἐρωτοκράτορος N Ν 422 καὶ εἶπε μοι ὁ πόθος P λυπῆσαι P Ν 423 καὶ ὀμνύεις] ἄμοσας Ρ Ν 424 κόρην ἡθικὴν Ρ εὐγενικῆς Ν Ν 425 καὶ Ι οπ. Ρ ἀποερωτοσυμπάθειαν Ρ ἐμὴν φιλίαν όποῦ add. P δικάζω N N 428 νὰ γένηται scripsi (cf. ναγύνετε E) : νὰ γένη εἰς P : καὶ γένει ἡ N συμπάθειος του] συμπάθεια P μώσης P N 429 ἐπάρης P N 430 ἀπ' P : διὰ N N 431 ἀλλήλων P κρυφομιλοῦσιν W (cf. κριφωμυλοῦσιν Ε et κριφὰ νὰ σηντηχένουν V) : κριφωφυλάσσουν N : κριφοφιλοῦνται P N 432 ἀπεσώθημεν P (cf. ἐκεῖ ἐπέσαμεν E) : ἀπεσώσασιν N τὴν om. N **N** 359 λύβεστρος N **N** 360 om. P **N** 361 ἡλιακὸς χαμώγειος N : χαμαίγιος ἡλιακὸς Pάπὸ μαρμάρου scripsi coll. ἀπὸ μαρμάρου V: ἀπὸ τριγύρου P: ὑπὲρ μερσίνη N  $m{N}$  362 γύρου του N: τριγύρου P λεπτοκάλαμα λελατομημένα ἱστήκουν P N 364–65 om. P N 364 ζωδία N έρωτιδόπουλα Wa : ἐρωτειδόπολα N ἐστανωμένα N (cf. παράξενα τῆ θέσει E) : ἑστανωμένα Wa : αἰστανομένα Hs N 365 στηθαῖον scripsi : στῆθος et supra lineam τ add. N : στῆθος των coni. Wa

όλα νὰ πτύουσιν τὸ νερὸν ἀπὸ τὰ στόματά τους. Καὶ παρεκτὸς τοῦ ἡλιακοῦ φισκίνα ἦτον κτισμένη, νερὸν νὰ γέμη ὁλόγλυκον καὶ κρύον ὡς ὁ πάγος, καὶ εἰς τὴν φισκίναν ἔσωθεν ἱστήκετον ἀνάγων καὶ ἀπάνω εἰς τὸν ἀνάγοντα μάρμαρον ὡς λεκάνη, N 370 καὶ εἰς τὴν λεκάνην ἔσωθεν ἄνθρωπος μὲ ἐφάνη· ἔμψυχος ἦτον ἔλεγες, νὰ ζῆ καὶ νὰ κινῆται, τὰ δύο του χέρια νὰ βαστοῦν ὀμπρός του εἰς τὸ στῆθος τάχατε ἐφάνη με χαρτὶν καὶ εἶχε γραμμένα ταῦτα: " Άς μὲ πονῆ ὅπου μὲ θεωρεῖ καὶ ὁποῦ μὲ βλέπει ας πάσχη, N 375 ἂς θλίβεται ὁποῦ τὰ ὀμμάτια του γυρίζουν πρὸς ἐμέναν, τούτην την καταδίκην μου την έχω καὶ την πάσχω, τὴν ὑπομένω ἀπὸ Ἐρωταν, τὴν ἐκατεδικάστην, διατὶ τὸν οὐκ ἐγνώριζα καὶ οὐδὲν τὸν ἐφοβούμην." Καὶ τὰ μὲν γράμματα ἔβλεπα καὶ τάχα ἀνάγνωσά τα, N 380 καὶ ἐσείουν τὸ κεφάλιν μου νὰ μὴ καὶ ἐμέναν οὕτως καταδικάση ὁ φοβερὸς ὁ ποθοερωτοκράτωρ. Έκεινον ὅπου ἐβάσταζεν τὰ γράμματα ἐπρόσεγά τον. καὶ ἔβλεπα ἀπὸ τὰ ὀμμάτια του τὸ δάκρυον νὰ σταλάσση καὶ νὰ χοχλάζη ὡς τὸ θερμόν, νὰ καίη ὡς τὸ καμίνιν· N 385 ἀπάνου είς τὸ κεφάλιν του νὰ κείτεται ὡς ὀφίδιν, όλην νὰ περιπλέκεται τάχα τὴν κορυφήν του, νὰ ἔναι τὸ στόμα του πυκνὸν εἰς τὸ μέτωπον τοῦ ἀνθρώπου. Καὶ ἐνόσφ τὸν ἐπρόσεχα, νὰ εἶπα ἐφώναξέ με: "Φοβοῦ μὴ πάθης τὰ ἔπαθα καὶ μυριοτυραννῆσαι." N 390 Καὶ τὴν φωνὴν ὡς ἤκουσα, νὰ εἶπες ὑπεπάγην, νὰ συνθρηνῶ ἐπεχείρησα τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐκείνον, νὰ κλαίω τὴν καταδίκην του καὶ νὰ τὸν ἀντιπάσχω· καὶ λέγει μοι ὁ ἔρως μου τὸν εἶχα μετὰ μέναν: " Ως διὰ τὸ πταῖσμαν τὸ ἔποικεν διὰ τοῦτο τυραννεῖται." N 395 Καὶ μετὰ ὡρίτσαν ὀλιγὴν εἶδα ἀνοικτὰς τὰς πόρτας όποῦ ἡ ᾿Αγάπη ἐσέβηκεν ἀπέσω μὲ τὸν Πόθον· N 397

 ${f N}$  366 ὅλα] ἀλλὰ  ${f P}$  πτύουσιν  ${f P}$ : πίνουσιν  ${f N}$  τοῦ στόματός του  ${f P}$   ${f N}$  367–68 καὶ παρεκτὸς τοῦ ἡλιακοῦ καθάριον ὥσπερ πάγος P N 368 πάγος P: πάγων N edd. N 369 ἐστήκετον P ἀνάγων P (cf. E): ἀνώγην N N 370 ἐπάνω P τὸν ἀνάγοντα scripsi coll. E: τὸν ἀνάγονα P: τὸ ἀνώγεον N τὸ post μάρμαρον add. P λεκάνην N N 371 μὲ] ὡς ἐμέναν P N 372 νὰ  $\zeta \hat{\eta}$  καὶ νὰ κιν $\hat{\eta}$ ται]  $\zeta \hat{\eta}$  καὶ ἀνακινε $\hat{\iota}$ ται N N 373 τάχα ante τὰ δύο add. N βαστ $\hat{\alpha}$  ἔμπροσθεν πρὸς P N 374 χαρτ $\hat{\eta}$ ν καὶ ἔγραφεν οὖτοι ἔγραφον στίχοι Ν γραμμένα scripsi coll. Ε V : γράμματα Ρ Ν 375 ας] ώς Ρ ὅπου¹ scripsi : ὁπου Ν : ὁποῦ Ρ ας πάσχει όποῦ με θέλει Ρ μὲ² om. Ν πάχει Ν, corr. Wa Ν 376 νὰ θλύβεται όποῦ όμματια (όμματα a.c.) γυρίζει εἰς Ρ  ${f N}$  378 τὴν²] τὸν  ${f N}$  ἐκατεδικάσθην p.c. P  ${f N}$  380 γράμματα ἔβλεπα  ${f P}$  : γράμμα ἔγραφα  ${f N}$  καὶ² om. N 377 μου om. N N ἀνέγνωθέ P N 381 ἔσιον P μὴ καὶ ἐμέναν ποιήσει P N 382 με post καταδικάσει add. P ό ποθοερωτοκράτωρ P : ἐρωτοκράτης ἔρως N N 383 ἐκεῖνον scripsi (cf. ἐκεῖνος E) : ἐκεῖνος P : εἰς δὲ τὸν N " ὅπου scripsi : ὁπου N : ὁποῦ Pέπρόσεχά τον] προείχον P N 384 καί om. P τὸ δάκρυον P : τα δάκρυα N σταλάσση scripsi : στάζει P : σταλάσουν N $\textbf{N 385} \; \text{kai} \; P \; (\text{cf.} \; E) : \text{toû} \; N \\ \hspace{0.5cm} \text{coclásh scripsi} \; (\text{cf.} \; \text{coclásh} \; E) : \text{koclásh} \; P : \text{coclásh} \; P : \text{coclásh} \; V \\ \hspace{0.5cm} \text{n} \; \text{n}$ βράζουν ώς τὸ κακάβην N N 386 πάνω P κῆτε P ὀφίδιν P: τὸ φίδιν N N 387 ὅλην] ἄλλον P N 388 εἰς τὸ μέτοπόν του πυκνὸν τὸ στόμαν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου P πυκνὸν P : πικτὸν N N 389 καὶ om. P  $\nu$ ὰ εἶπα] ἴππος (i.e. εἶπ' ὡς) P ἐφόναζέ P ${\bf N}$  390 φοβοῦμαι  ${\bf P}$  τὰ] τὸ  ${\bf P}$  καὶ πικρὰ τιμωρῆσαι  ${\bf P}$   ${\bf N}$  391 νὰ  ${\bf P}$  : ώς  ${\bf N}$  λέγης  ${\bf P}$  ύπεπάγην  ${\bf P}$  : ὑπετάγην  ${\bf N}$  : ἐπεπάγην tempt. Ch  $\,$  N 392 καὶ συνθρηνὴν  $\,$  Ν  $\,$  ἄνθρωπον  $\,$  P  $\,$  (cf. E  $\,$  V) : ἄγωρον  $\,$  N  $\,$  393 του] μου  $\,$  P  $\,$  τὸν  $\,$  P  $\,$  τὸν  $\,$  N  $\,$  394 ἔρως με λέγει ὁ φύλαξ μου Ρ μετεμέναν Ρ **N 395** ώς διὰ] ὅλον Ρ ἐποίησες Ρ διὰ τοῦτο Ρ : δι' αὕτον Ν τιμωρῆσαι Ρ **N 396** ώραν P ολιγὴν P (cf. E) : ὀλίγην N εἶδα] βλέπω P

| ἔρως ἐξέβην ἀπεκεῖ καὶ λέγει τον ἐκεῖνον<br>ἐποῦ μὸ ἀπό καπου ἀμόνι "Τπος που ἄλο ἀπόπο"                | N 281  |
|---|--------|
| όποῦ μὲ ἐφύλασσεν ἐμέν: "Ἐπαρ' τον, ἔλα ἀπέσω."<br>Καὶ ἐκεῖνος τὸν ἐρώτησεν: "Τί λέγουν ὡς διὰ τοῦτον;" |        |
| Έκεινος τον ερωτήσεν. Τι λεγούν ως ότα τουτόν,<br>Έκεινος ἀπεκρίθηκεν κρυφώς νὰ μὴ τὸ μάθω:             |        |
| "Ή 'Αγάπη μεσιτεύει τον, έγγυειται τον ό Πόθος,   | N 285  |
| καὶ συμπαθεῖ τον ὁ Ἐρωτας, τίποτε μὴ λυπῆται."  | 1 203  |
| Καὶ εἶπεν μοι: "Περιπάτησε, μὴ θλίβεσαι ἀπὲ τώρα."  |        |
| Έμπαίνω ἀπέσω μετ' αὐτούς, πληθος πολὺν εὐρίσκω,  |        |
| ήσαν μυριάδες ἄνθρωποι καὶ ἡ δίκη τους τοιαύτη  |        |
| δι' ἀγάπην, ἔρωτος στοργήν, διὰ πόθου διακρισίαν·   | N 290  |
| καὶ μέσα εἰς τούτους, φίλε μου, μάθε τὸ τί ἐξενίστην,   | 14 200 |
| τὸ εἴδασιν τὰ ὀμμάτια μου ἐξαπορεῖ το ὁ νοῦς μου.   |        |
| Έρως τριμορφοπρόσωπος κάθηται είς τὸν θρόνον,   |        |
| τὸ πρῶτον του τὸ πρόσωπον βρέφος μικροῦ παιδίου,  |        |
| άπαλοσάρκου, τρυφεροῦ, καὶ εἶχεν ξανθὴν τὴν πλάσιν,   | N 295  |
| έὰν τὸ εἶδες, νὰ εἶπες ἐκ παντὸς χέρια καλοῦ ζωγράφου   |        |
| τεχνίτου τὸ ἐστόρησαν, ψέγος οὐδὲν βαστάζει·  |        |
| τὸ δεύτερον ἐφαίνετον ὡς μέσης ἡλικίας,   |        |
| νὰ ἔχῃ τὸ γένιν στρογγυλόν, τὴν ὄψιν ὡς τὸ χιόνι·   |        |
| καὶ τὸ ἀπ' ἐκείνου πρόσωπον γέροντος νὰ εἶδες ὄψιν,   | N 300  |
| σύνθεσιν, σχήμα καὶ κοπὴν καὶ πλάσιν ἀναλόγως·  |        |
| καὶ τὸ μὲν πρῶτον πρόσωπον εἶχεν ἐξ ὁλοκλήρου   |        |
| τὰ χέρια, τὰ ποδάρια καὶ τὸ ἄλλον του τὸ σῶμα,  |        |
| τὰ δὲ ἀπ' ἐκείνου πρόσωπα μόνον ἀπὸ τοὺς ὤμους.   |        |
| Έθώρουν τα ὅτι ἐκείτονταν ὡς ἦσαν κατ' ἀξίαν,   | N 305  |
| ἔβλεπα τὴν τριμόρφωσιν, ἔλεγα: "Τίς ὁ πλάστης   |        |
| <καὶ> τί τὸ ξενοχάραγον τὸ βλέπω, τί ἔναι ἐτοῦτο;   |        |
| Τίς νὰ μὲ εἴπη τὸ θεωρῶ, τίς νὰ μὲ τὸ ἑρμηνεύση,  |        |
| τίς ἄνθρωπος φιλόκαλος νὰ μὲ τὸ ἀναδιδάξη;"   |        |
| Καὶ ἐνόσφ εἰς τέτοιαν μέριμναν ὁ νοῦς μου ἐτριοκοπᾶτον,   | N 310  |
| οκάποτε καὶ ἡ ζήτησις γίνεται ἡ ἐδική μου:  |        |
| "< O> ἀντιστάτης ἂς ἐλθῆ," φωνάζει ὁκάτις ἔρως.   |        |
| Γίνεται τάξης μερισμὸς ἀπέδω μου καὶ ἀπέκει,  |        |
| λέγει με ὁποῦ με ἐφύλασσεν: "Έλα νὰ προσκυνήσης."   |        |

N 282 ἐμὲν] ἐμὲ P καὶ ante ἔλα praeb. P N 283 καὶ om. P ἡρώτησεν P τί λέγουν ὡς] τί λέγουσι iter. P N 284 ἀπεκρίθηκεν] ὑπεκρίθην τον P κρυφὰ P μάθω Wa (cf. E) : μάθη N P N 285 ἡ om. P ὁ πόθος τὸν ἐγκυῆται P N 286 ὁ μοτας] ἀπὸ ἔρωτα P N 287 εἴπε με περιπάτησεν P N 288 σεβαίνω P αὐτοὺς P : αὐτῆς N N 289 ἦσαν] ώσὰν P οἱ δήκη νὰ ἔν τῶν ὄλων P N 290 στοργὴν om. P διακρισίαν P : δι' ἀκρασίαν N N 291 μέσα] ἐμέναν P τούτους] αὐτοὺς P ἐξενίσθην P N 292 καὶ τί εἴδασι τὰ 'μάτιά μου P N 293 στρωτριμορφωπρόσωπος ἐκάθητο εἰς θρόνον P N 294 ὡς βρέφος N : ὥσπερ coni. Wa N 295 ἀπαλοσάρκιν τρυφερὸν νὰ ἐξανθῆ εἰς τὴν πλᾶσιν P τρυφεροῦ Lt coll. E : τρυφερὸν P : φοβεροῦ N N 296 ἐὰν τὸ εἶδες νὰ εἶπες P : τοῦ νατὸν ἴδες N χέρια καλοῦ ζωγράφου] κάλλους καλοῦ τεχνίτου P N 297 τεχνίτου Wa : τεχνίτες N τεχνίτου τὸ ἐστόρησεν] ζωγράφου χαίρια ἱστορικοῦ P ψέγος Wa : ψίγος N καὶ ψόγον οὺ βαστάζει P N 298 ἐφαίνετο ἐκ P γένειον P τὸ χιόνι] σιτόχρους P N 300 ἀπ' ἐκεῖθεν P εἶδεν P N 301 κοπὴν . . . πλάσιν] σκοπὸν . . . γλῶσσαν P N 303 του καὶ post χέρια add. P τὸ ἄλλον] ὄλον P N 304 τὰ . . . πρόσωπα scripsi : τὸ . . . πρόσωπον N P ἀπ' ἐκεῖθεν P ἐθεώρουν P τα om. P ἐκεῖτεντον P N 306 ἤλεγα τίς ἡ πλάσις P N 307 καὶ suppl. Ch coll. E ἐξενοχάραγον P ἔναι ἐτοῦτο] ἔνι τοῦτο P N 308 με¹ om. P εἰπῆ P N 310 καὶ—νοῦς μου] ὁ νοῦς μου εἰς τοιαύτην μέριμναν P ἐτριοκοπάτον N P (cf. ἐτριεκοπάτον E) N 311 ἰδική P N 312 ὁ suppl. Wa τὸν ἀντιστάτην P ἐλθῆ P : ἔλθη N N 313 τάξης scripsi : τάξις N P edd. μερισμῶς P : μέρους μου N μου om. P ἀπ' ἐκεῖθεν P N 314 λέγει με] καὶ λόγους P ὲφύλαξεν P

#### 140 NARRATIVE PRESENTATION IN *LIVISTROS AND RHODAMNE*

| Έσέβην εἰς τὸν Έρωταν, πίπτω εἰς τὴν γῆν ὀμπρός του, καὶ ἠρξάμην μετὰ δάκρυα μου νὰ κλαίω καὶ νὰ τὸν λέγω: | N 315<br>N 316   |
|--|------------------|
| Εὶς ὕπνον του τὸν Ἐρωτα ὁ Λίβιστρος προσπίπτει, προσπίπτει καὶ παρακαλεῖ μετὰ πολλῶν δακρύων.              | N 466a<br>N 466b |
| "Έρως, αὐθέντα βασιλεῦ, δέσποτα γῆς ἀπάσης,  | N 317            |
| τῶν ἀναισθήτων ἀρχηγέ, τῶν αἰσθητῶν κατάρχα,   |                  |
| πάσης ψυχης ἐρευνητά, τοῦ πόθου δικαιοκρίτα,   |                  |
| καὶ τῆς ἀγάπης συνεργέ, τῆς ὑπολήψεως φίλε·  | N 320            |
| αν ἀπὸ ἀναισθησίας μου τὴν εἶχα πρὸς ἐσέναν  |                  |
| κατεφρονίσθης ἀπὸ ἐμέν, δέσποτα ποθοκράτωρ,  |                  |
| μη έξεριστης το πταίσμα μου, τόσον μη το κακώσης,  |                  |
| γνώρισε, ἤμουν χωρικὸς καὶ συγγνωμόνησέ το·  |                  |
| άρκει τὸ μὲ ἐφοβέρισες, ἐλέησε με ἀπὲ τώρα,  | N 325            |
| [ν]ὰ ὁμόσω νὰ εἶμαι δοῦλος σου ὅλος τοῦ ὁρισμοῦ σου,   |                  |
| λίζιος τοῦ θελήματος καὶ τοῦ προστάγματός σου."  |                  |
| Καὶ τότε ἀφοῦ τὸ ἐπλήρωσα τὸ τὸν ἐπαρεκάλουν,  |                  |
| λέγει με: "Εγείρου ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν διὰ μεσιτείας τοῦ Πόθου·  |                  |
| διὰ τὴν ἐγγύησιν, γνώριζε, τὴν εἶχα ἐκ τὴν Ἁγάπην,   | N 330            |
| σπλαγχνίζομαί σε ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν, ἐλεῶ καὶ συμπαθῶ σε·   |                  |
| τὸ ἔπταισες οὐ ψηφίζω το, ἀμνημονῶ εἰς ἐκεῖνον,  |                  |
| καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν παράλαβε ἀγάπην εἰς τὸν νοῦν σου   |                  |
| καὶ πόθον κόρης ἠθικῆς, ἐρωτοεξηρηγμένης,  |                  |
| πόθον Ροδάμνης θυγατρὸς Χρυσοῦ τοῦ βασιλέως."  | N 335            |
| Έπροσηκώθην ἐκ τὴν γῆν, ἐπροσεκύνησά τον,  | P 217            |
| εἶδα φρικτὸν μυστήριον, φίλε μου, εἰς ἐκεῖνον·   | P 218            |
| τὴν μίαν φωνὴν ἐμέριζαν τὰ στόματα τὰ τρία,  | P 220            |
| έλάλει ούτος καὶ νὰ λὲς ἐφώναζεν ἐκεῖνος,  | P 219            |
| {καὶ} ἤκουες {τὸ} τέλος τῆς φωνῆς ἐκ τῶν τριῶν τὸ στόμα,   | P 221            |
| καὶ ἀπλῶς οὐκ εἶχες τὴν ἀρχήν, οὐδὲ τὸ τέλος πάλιν,  |                  |
| τὸν λόγον τὸν ἐφώναξεν πόθεν νὰ τὸν εἰκάζης.   |                  |
| Άπέδω του καὶ ἀπέκει του τοῦ ἐρωτοτριπροσώπου  |                  |
| δύο γυναίκες ἴσταντο καλὲς εἰς χαρακτήραν,   | P 225            |
| <καλὲς εἰς εἶδος καὶ εἰς κοπήν, παράξενες τὴν ὄψιν·>   |                  |
| ή μία στεφάνιν νὰ φορῆ μαργαριτάριν ὅλον,  |                  |
| ἄσπρον ὡς τὸ χιόνιν τὸ καλὸν τὸ ἀκόμη οὐκ ἐπατήθη·   |                  |
|  |                  |

N 315 ἐμπρός P N 316 καὶ ¹ om. P μετὰ N P : μὲ τὰ dist. Hs δακρύων P N 466a-b hanc rubricam atramento exaratam hic praeb. N P, sed post N 466 ( $\approx$  P 2797) perperam transp. Hs N 466b προσπίπτει καὶ om. P N 317 αὐθέντη P τῶν ἀπάντων P N 318 ἀναισθήτων P : ἀνεστήμων N αἰσθητῶν P : ἀρχηγῶν N N 320 τῆς ἀγάπης] γῆς ἀπάσης P συνεργὲ P : σύνεργε N N 321 ἂν om. P τῆς post ἀπὸ add. P ἀναισθησίας P : νηστείας N τὴν εἶχα πρὸς ἐσέναν] καὶ ἀπὸ χωρισμοῦ μου P N 322 κατεφρονίσθης Wa : καφρονίσθης N : κατεφρονήθης P ἀπὶ ἐμοῦ P αὐτοκράτωρ P N 323 ἐξορισθῆς P κακίσης P N 324 om. P N 325 ἐλέησόν P ἀπὸ P N 326 νὰ suppl. Wa : om. P ὅμοσα P ὅλος N : δοῦλος P ὁρισμοῦ P : ὁρισμός N N 328 ἀφοῦ τὸ] ἀφότου P ἐπαρακάλουν P N 330 τὴν ἔγγυσιν ἐγνώριζε P ἐγγύησιν corr. Kr : ἐγγύωσιν N edd. εἶχα] οἶδα P N 331 καὶ ] σε P N 332 ἔπταισες P : ἔπεσες N καὶ ante ἀμνημονῶ add. P ἐκεῖνο P N 333 καὶ om. P N 334 ἡθικῆς P : συνθηκῆς N ἐρωτοἐξηρημένης P P 217–302 om. N P 220 huc traieci coll. E V P 221 καὶ . . . τὸ seclusi coll. V P 223 τὸν² scripsi (cf. του E et ὁπου V) : οὐκ P : ὄν coni. Ma P 224 του¹ corr. Ma (cf. E) : το P P 225 unum excidisse versum videtur in P, quem e.g. supplevi ex E (etiam cf. καλαῖς εἰς ῆδος εἰς μορφὴν εἰς σύνθεσην καὶ εἰς κάλος V) P 226 ὄλον scripsi coll. E V : μόνον P P 228 αὐτὴ scripsi coll. E V : ἄλλη P : ἄλλο coni. Ma

P 260

| ή ἄλλη εἰς τὸ κεφάλιν της καὶ αὐτὴ στεφάνιν εἶχεν, κόκκινα λυχνιτάρια νὰ τσούζουν ὡς ἡ φλόγα· ὁλόασπρα ἦν τὰ ροῦχα της τῆς μιᾶς ὡς τὸ βαμβάκιν, <τῆς ἄλλης ὁλοκόκκινα νὰ λάμπουν ὡς ὁ ἥλιος·> καὶ εἰς τὸ πρὸς ἕναν γόνατον τοῦ ἐρωτοκρατοῦντος τῶν δύο τὰ χέρια κείτουνται ἐπάνω εἰς τὰ δεξιά των, | P 230  |
|--|--------|
| ὄρκου σημείον ἐρωτικὸν εἰς εὐϋποληψίαν. Ἄκουσον τί μὲ ἑρμήνευσαν διὰ τὰς δύο γυναῖκας: "Βλέπεις τὴν όλοκόκκινον ἐκείνην τὴν ὡραίαν ὁποῦ εἰς τὸ μέρος ἴσταται †τοῦ Ἐρωτος τὸ δεξιόν†; Λέγουν την ἡ Ἀλήθεια, ἐκείνη ὀμνεῖ εἰς ἐτοῦτον  | P 235  |
| νὰ μὴ ψευσθῆ <τὰ> ὑπόσχεται διὰ πόθον εἰς τὸν κόσμον· ὁποῦ ἴσταται εἰς τὸν ἀριστερὸν λέγουν την Δικαιοσύνην, όμνύει καὶ αὐτὴ τὸν Ἐρωτα τὸ δίκαιον νὰ φυλάσση, νὰ μὴ διὰ προσωπόληψιν πολλάκις παρακρίνη· ἔνι αὐτὴ ὁλοκόκκινος καὶ ὁλόλευκος ἐκείνη,  | P 240  |
| καὶ ὡς κρύσταλλον παρείκασε ἐκείνην ἐκ τὰ νέφη, ὡς φλόγα ταύτην ἐκ τὴν γῆν διὰ τὸ ἀψευδήγορόν του· ἴδε, ἄνθρωπε, θαύμαζε καὶ τὰς μορφὰς τῶν τρίων. ᾿Αφοῦ ἀπεδὰ μετασταθῆς καὶ ὑπάγης καὶ παρέκει, θέλεις σεβεῖν εἰς τοῦ Ἐρωτος τὰ βέλη νὰ ὀμόσης   | P 245  |
| καὶ ἀπέκει νὰ τὰ διδαχθῆς, νὰ ἴδης καὶ τὸν μάντιν, όποῦ σὲ θέλει ἀφηγηθεῖν {τὸ} τί ἔναι τὸ θέλεις πάθειν καὶ πότε τὴν ἀγάπην σου θέλεις ἀποκερδίσειν." Όποῦ μὲ συνετύχαινεν ἀφῆκε, μετεστάθη, πάλιν θεωρῶ τὸν Ἐρωτα, πάλιν αὐτὸς μὲ λέγει:   | P 250  |
| "Λίβιστρε, τί στήκεις καὶ θεωρεῖς; "Αγωμε νὰ ὀμόσης. 'Αγάπη, σύ τον ἔπαρε, Πόθε, παράλαβέ τον, τὸ ἐγγυτικόν του ποιήσετε, τὸ βέβαιον ἂς ὀμόση, ἂς τοξευθῆ {ἐκ} τὸν ἔρωτα τοῦ πόθου τῆς Ροδάμνης." Εὐθὺς τὸν προσεκύνησα καὶ ἀπέκει μετεστάθην· ὁ Πόθος ἦτον ἀπεδὰ καὶ ἀπέκει μου ἡ 'Αγάπη,         | P 255  |
| είς τὸ κελλὶν ἀπήγαμεν ὅπου ἦτον τὸ ὁρκωμόσιν·  Ἐκφρασις ἄλλη ἐρωτικὴ τῆς ποθοορκωμοσίας.  | P 259a |

P 230 ὁλόασπρα scripsi (cf. ὁλάσπρα E V) : ὁλόλαμπρα P βαμβάκιν scripsi (cf. βαμπάγκην V) : στεφάνιν P (et E) P 230 post hunc versum lacunam unius versus suspicor, quam e.g. supplevi (insuper cf. P 235/242 et V ad v. P 229) P 231 fort. post hunc versum statuenda est lacuna duorum fere versuum P 234 ἄκουσον scripsi (cf. ἄκουσε E et ἄκουσον V) : ἤκουσες P P 235 βλέπεις scripsi coll. E V : βλέπω P P 236 cf. ὁποῦ εἰς τὸ μέρος τὸ δεξιῶν ἤστεκε τοῦ κρατοῦντος E P 237 ἐτοῦτον scripsi (cf. ἑκεῖνον E et αὕτην V) : τὸν ἔρωτά μου P P 238 νὰ Ch coll. E V : ἵνα P τὰ supplevi e V (cf. τὸ E) P 249 τὸ¹ seclusi P 251 an ἀφῆκε με καὶ ἐστάθη? (cf. ἀφοίκε με καὶ ἐδιέβη E) P 253 στήκεσαι P, corr. Ma P 255 ποιήσετε scripsi (cf. πίσεται E) : ποίησαι P ἄς ὀμόση scripsi (cf. νὰ ὡμώσει E) : ἡ ὁμωσία ἀς γένη P P 256 ᾶς suppl. Ma ἐκ seclusi coll. E τοῦ πόθου] an τῆς κόρης? (cf. E) P 260–62 minio exarati in P P 261 post hunc versum lacunam aliquorum versuum suspicor, in quibus Libistrus verba inscriptionis refert; e.g., cf. ὁπόχι πόθον καὶ φιλήαν ἀς τρέχη εἰς τὸν μάντην | στὸν έροταν τὸν θαυμαστὸν τὸν πόθον τῆς ἀγάπης | ἡ δε ἐκφεύγι ἀπαυτοῦς ἀλὶς ἐκήνον ἔχι V

ταῦτα εἰς ἕναν δίθυρον εὕρομεν τοῦ κελλίου,

γραμμένα ήσαν γράμματα καὶ ἄκουσον τί ἐλαλοῦσαν:

| < >  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Πάλι ἄκουσον τὸ δίθυρον τί εἶχεν ἱστορίαν·           |               |
| είχε τὸν Ἐρωτα γυμνὸν ἐπάνω ἱστορισμένον,            |               |
| τὸ ἕναν του χέριν νὰ κρατῆ σπαθὶν ἠκονημένον,        |               |
| τὸ ἄλλον ὁλοκόκκινον ἀπτομένην λαμπάδα.              | P 265         |
| 'Απεδὰ μάθε ἐκ τῆς γραφῆς τὸ ἦτον παρακάτω:          |               |
| "Έρως ἀκαταπόνετος οὐρανοβυθοφθάνος."                |               |
| "Λοιπὸν οὐκ ἔνι ὁποῦ ἔλαθεν τὸν Ἐρωτα εἰς τὸν κόσμ   | iov,          |
| ἔχει †πληροφορήθησαι†," πάλιν λέγει με ὁ Πόθος,      |               |
| "οὐκ ἔνι ὁποῦ ἐξεγλύτωσεν τὴν ἐρωτοταξίαν."          | P 270         |
| Άπέκει ἐμετεστάθημεν καὶ ἀπήγαμεν ἀπέσω              |               |
| είς τὸ κελλὶν τὸ ἐρωτικὸν τῆς ποθοορκωμοσίας·        |               |
| εὑρίσκω εἰς χρυσοκόκκινον ἐπάνω ἀναλόγιν             |               |
| πτερὸν νὰ κεῖται τοῦ Ἐρωτος καὶ τόξον γεμισμένον,    |               |
| καὶ μέσα εἰς αὐτὸ χαρτίν, εἶχε τοὺς λόγους τούτους:  | P 275         |
|  |               |
| Όρκος ἐρώτων φοβερὸς <τὸν ἔχουν οἱ ποθοῦντ           |               |
| καὶ ἀπὸ ψυχῆς ὁ Λίβιστρος> ὀμνεῖ νὰ μὴ ἀθετή         | ση. P 276b    |
| "Έγὰ εἶμαι <ὁ> νόμος τοῦ Ἐρωτος, {καὶ} τοῦτο ἔνι     | σὸ περοόν μου |
| καὶ τοῦτο ἔναι τὸ δοξάριν μου, καὶ ὁμνύετε οἱ πάντες | · · · · ·     |
| λίζιοι νὰ εἶστε δοῦλοι του, νὰ μὴ τὸν ἀθετῆτε.       |               |
| Τί πλανᾶσθε ἐξαπορῶ καὶ τί (ἔναι) τὸν ἀθετεῖτε·      | P 280         |
| ποῦ νὰ τὸν ἐγλυτώσετε; Φρίττω ὅτι φεύγετέ τον.       | 1 200         |
| "Αν πετασθήτε είς (τὸν) οὐρανόν, πτερὸν ἔχει καὶ φθο | óver.         |
| αν καταβήτε είς <θάλασσαν, γυμνός ώς τον θεωρείτε    |               |
| καὶ καταφθάνει εἰς > ἄβυσσον καὶ οὐκ ἐγλυτώνετέ το   |               |
| αν δε ίσως πάλιν είς την γην κοσμοπεριπατείτε,       | r 2030        |
| θεωρείτε <καί> τὸ τόξον του, πολλὰ στοχὰ δοξεύει,    | P 285         |
| καὶ οὐκ ἔνι όδὸς νὰ φύγετε τὴν ἐρωτοταξίαν.          | F 263         |
| Λοιπὸν ἐπιφωνοῦμαι σας ὁποῦ εἶσται ἀπὸ τὸν κόσμον    |               |
| δουλώνεσθαι <είς> τὸν Ἐρωτα, καὶ ὁποῦ τὸν θέλει ὀ    |               |
| ας ἔνι βέβαιον τὸ λαλεῖ, μὴ ὁρκοπαραβατήση."         | μοσειν,       |
| καὶ παρακάτω ἔγραφεν τὰ ἐκ τὴν γραφὴν ἐκείνην:       | P 290         |
| "Βέλη καὶ τόπος καὶ μονὴ τῆς ποθοορκωμοσίας."        | F 290         |
| Ανέγνωσά την την γραφήν, είδα την ιστορίαν,          |               |
| άπλώνω ταῦτα εἰς τὸ πτερὸν καὶ ἐπάνω εἰς τὸ δοξάριν  | ,             |
| καὶ εἶπα: "Μὰ τοῦτο τὸ πτερόν, μὰ τὸ εὕστοχόν σου το | •             |
| δουλώνομαι είς τὸν Έρωτα, λιζιώνομαι είς τὸν Πόθος   |               |
| πιστός της νὰ εἶμαι ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν τῆς Ἐρωτικοαγάπης."  |               |
| mesons the to char and too too the Epottikoayanthe.  |               |

corr. Ma P 275 αὐτὸ Ma (cf. αὐτὸν E) : αὐτὰ P P 276a-b rubrica lacunosa atramento exarata in P, quam e.g. supplevi ex E P 277 ὁ supplevi (cf. ὁνόμοσττοῦ E) καὶ seclusi P 279 λίζιοι Ch: σύζιοι P εἶστε scripsi coll. E: ἡτε P P 280 ἕναι seclusi τὸν scripsi : τὸ P P 281 ἐγλυτώσετε scripsi coll. Ε : ἐγλύσετε P P 282 τὸν seclusi P 283a-b lacunam e.g. supplevi ex E P 284 αν Ma : ἐὰν P κοσμοπεριπατεῖτε scripsi : κόσμον περιπατεῖτε P P 285 καὶ supplevi coll. Ε στοχὰ  $Ma \ (cf. \ E) \quad \textbf{P 291} \ \textbf{βέλη} \ \kappa \textbf{αὶ} \ \textbf{τόπος} \ \textbf{scripsi coll.} \ E: \textbf{κελλὶν} \ \textbf{πόθος} \ P: \textbf{Kελλὶν} \ \textbf{τοῦ} \ \textbf{Πόθου} \ \textbf{coni.} \ \textbf{Ma} \quad \mu \textbf{ονὴ} \ \textbf{scripsi coll.} \ E: \mu \textbf{ονὴν}$ P Ma **P 295** βουλόνομαι P, corr. Ma ἀλυζιόνομαι P, corr. Ma

| Ὠμοσα καί, ἀφότου ὤμοσα, ταῦτα καὶ ὁ μάντις ἦλθεν,<br>ὁ μάντις τὸν <μὲ> ἐρμήνευσαν νὰ μὲ ἴδη εἰς τὸν ὅρκον, |        |
|---|--------|
| καὶ ταῦτα ἐν πρώτοις λέγει με λόγους καὶ συντυχίας:   | P 298a |
| Τὰ θέλει πάθει <ὁ> Λίβιστρος ὁ μάντις τοῦ τὰ λέγει.   | P 298b |
| "Λίβιστρος, γῆς λατινικῆς ἄρχων, τοπάρχης μέγας,  |        |
| πολλῶν πραγμάτων ἄνθρωπος, ρήγας πολλῶν ἀνθρώπων,   | P 300  |
| μέλλει τοῦ τόπου νὰ γενῆ τοῦ γονικοῦ του ξένος  |        |
| διὰ Ροδάμνην τὴν καλήν, τὴν ἐρωτικοπόθον·   | P 302  |
| <δεσπότης μέλλει νὰ γενῆ τοῦ ᾿Αργυροῦ τοῦ Κάστρου,>   |        |
| Χρυσόν, πατέρα τὸν λαμπρὸν τῆς κόρης τῆς Ροδάμνης,  | N 336  |
| νὰ διαδεχθῆ ἐκ τὴν χώραν του καὶ νὰ κοσμοκρατήση·   |        |
| μετὰ δὲ χρόνου διάστημα νὰ χάση τὴν Ροδάμνην  |        |
| ύπὸ γυναίκας πονηρᾶς, τῆς κακομάγου γραίας,   |        |
| καὶ νὰ ἔβγη εἰς ἀναζήτησιν τῆς κόρης τῆς Ροδάμνης·  | N 340  |
| δίχρονον θέλει περπατεῖ νὰ ὑπάγη νὰ τὴν εὕρη·   |        |
| καὶ ἀφοῦ τὴν εὕρει ἀπὸ καλοῦ φίλου του συνεργίαν,   |        |
| εὶς ἄλλον ἕναν διάστημαν νὰ παραδράμη χρόνος  |        |
| καὶ πάλιν Κάστρου τοῦ ἀργυροῦ νὰ γίνεται δεσπότης   |        |
| καὶ κόρην τὴν παράξενον νὰ τὴν συναποθάνη."   | N 345  |
| Εἶπεν ἐκεῖνος ὁ καλὸς ὁ μάντις ὁ προγνώστης,  |        |
| έλάλησε τὰ μέλλοντα καὶ ὁκάποτε ἀνεσπάσθην  | N 347  |
| άπὸ τοῦ ὀνείρου τὴν πλοκήν, φίλε μου, τοῦ τοσούτου.   | P 311  |
| Έξύπνησεν ὁ Λίβιστρος τῆς ὀνειροπλασίας,  | N 347a |
| ἐζύπνησεν ὁλοζάλιστος, μυριοθορυβισμένος.   | N 347b |
| Ό νοῦς μου εἶχεν ταραχὴν καὶ φόβον ἡ ψυχή μου,  | N 348  |
| μέριμναν ἡ καρδία μου καὶ κλόνον ἀλλ' ὁπόσον·   |        |
| ὥραν χασμένος περισσὴν νὰ κείτωμαι νὰ βλέπω   | N 350  |
| τὸ πότε νὰ ἔλθη ὁ λογισμὸς τὸν εἶχα ἂν οὐκ ἐχάθη.   |        |
| Όκάποτε ἐπανήφερα καὶ ἦλθαν τὰ λογικά μου,  |        |
| άνέβην άπὸ τὸν βυθὸν τοῦ ὀνείρατος ὁ νοῦς μου,  |        |
| ἔρριψα ἀπὸ τὰ ὀμμάτια μου τὸν ὕπνον παραυτίκα·  |        |
|   |        |

#### 144 NARRATIVE PRESENTATION IN *LIVISTROS AND RHODAMNE*

| έκάτσα καὶ ἐκλονίζετον ὁ νοῦς μου ἀπὲ τὸν φόβον, ἀνεψηλάφουν διὰ δεσμὸν μὴ ἔχῃ ὁ σφόνδυλός μου, ἔβλεπα ἂν εἶμαι ὡς μὲ ἔσυρναν ἐκεῖνοι δεσμωμένος.  | N 355  |
|--|--------|
| Ήτον ὁ νοῦς μου εἰς Ἔρωταν τὸν τρίμορφον ἐκεῖνον,  | N 358  |
| έσκόπουν τὰς παράξενας τὰς δύο πλαγινάς του,   | P 322  |
| τὸν ἡλιακὸν ἐθαύμαζα καὶ τὴν καλὴν φισκίναν,   | N 467  |
| ἔβλεπα νὰ εἶπες αἰσθητὰ τὴν ποθοορκομωσίαν·  | 1, 10, |
| ήμουν είς τοῦτο καὶ είς αὐτὸ καὶ είς τὸ ἄλλον τὸ παρέκει,  |        |
| ήθελα έκεινα νὰ σκοπῶ και έτοῦτα νὰ φροντίζω,  | N 470  |
| τὸ ἄλλο νὰ ἔχω μέριμναν, νὰ μὴ μὲ λάθη ἐκεῖνον,  | 14 170 |
| καί, πίστευσέ με, εἰς ἑκατὸν ἐκόπτετον ὁ νοῦς μου.   |        |
| Καὶ τέως μετὰ ὥραν περισσὴν μηνῶ τὸν συγγενῆ μου,  |        |
| έκεινον όπου μοῦ ἔλεγεν τὰ δύναται ἡ ἀγάπη·  |        |
| and the second s |        |
| Ο Λίβιστρος τὸ ὄνειρον λέγει τὸν συγγενῆ του.  | N 475  |
| καὶ ἄμα τὸν εἶδα, εἶπα τον, οὐδὲ ἐχαιρέτησά τον:   | P 331  |
| "Ίδὲς τὸ τί μὲ ἐγίνετον τὴν χθεσινὴν ἑσπέραν.  | N 476  |
| Έπλασεν ὁ Ἐρως ὄνειρον τὸ νὰ εἶπες ὅτι ἐβλέπω,   |        |
| καὶ ἔχει ὁ νοῦς μου μέριμναν καὶ ὀδύνην ἡ ψυχή μου·  |        |
| ἔπλασεν ὁ Ἐρως, συγγενῆ, πλάσμα φρικτὸν ὀνείρου,   |        |
| τὸ ἀκόμη βλέπω αἰστητῶς καὶ ἐντρέχει εἰς ὀφθαλμούς μου."   | N 480  |
| Καὶ ἐκεῖνος μὲ λέγει: "Λίβιστρε, δέσποτα, γῆς τοπάρχα,   |        |
| γῆς κοσμοκράτωρ τῆς ἐμῆς, εἰπέ, ἀφηγήσου μέ το."   |        |
| Καὶ ἠρξάμην τὴν ἀφήγησιν, φίλε μου, νὰ τὴν λέγω  |        |
| τοῦ ὀνείρατος τοῦ ἐρωτικοῦ τὸν συγγενῆν μου ἐκεῖνον  |        |
| καὶ ὁπόταν ἦλθα εἰς τὸ ὄνομα τῆς κόρης τῆς Ροδάμνης,   | N 485  |
| εἶπεν μοι: "Κράτει, Λίβιστρε, δι' αὐτὴν τὴν συντυχαίνεις   |        |
| εἴδησιν ἔχω καὶ ἄκουσε, τώρα καιρὸς παρῆλθεν.  | N 487  |
| Νομίζω ἔνι δίχρονον καὶ εἰς χώραν ἀπεδήμουν  | P 345  |
| διὰ τὸν ρηγοδεσπότην της καὶ κοσμοαρχηγόν της,   |        |
| ότι ἀπεδήμην εἰς αὐτὴν τὴν κόρην ἣν μὲ λέγεις·   | P 347  |
| καὶ σώπασε, μὴ θλίβεσαι, τίποτε μὴ λυπήσαι,  | N 488  |
| έπεὶ καὶ μάντις ἄνθρωπος προείπεν σοι τὸ μέλλον.   |        |
| Αλήθεια τὴν πατρίδα σου καὶ ὅλους τοὺς ἐδικούς σου   | N 490  |

N 355 praeb. N E V : om. P N 356 έψπλάφουν P διὰ δεσμὸν P : διαδεσμὸν N σφόνδυλος N : τράχηλος P, an recte? N 357 ἔβλεπον ἐκεὶ ὡς με ἔσυρναν ἄν ἡμε ἐμπλεγμένος P δεσμωμένος scripsi (cf. ἐμπλεγμένος P) : δεσμωμένον N edd. N 358 ἔρωτα P τρίμορφον P : τριμόρφων N P 322 praeb. P E V : om. N N 467 ἐθαύμαζον P φισκίναν P : φισκίαν N N 468 ἔβλεπον P αἰσθητῶς P N 469 ἡμην P παρέκει P : παρέξω N N 470 ἐκεῖνα . . . ἐτοῦτα] ἐτοῦτο . . . ἐκεῖνο P καὶ om. P N 471 ἐκεῖνο P N 472 πίστευσόν μοι P ὅτι ante εἰς add. P N 473 τέως om. N ὅρα P μηνών P συγγενήν P N 474 ὅπου scripsi : ὁπου N : ὁποῦ P μοῦ] με P ἡλεγε P δύναται P (cf. δύναται V et δύνεται E) : δίετα N N 475 om. P P 331 praeb. P E V : om. N N 476 εἶδες P τὸ ante τί om. P ἐγένετο P ἑσπέραν P : ἡμέραν N N 477 om. P εἶπες Lt coll. E : ἴδες N N 478 post N 479 praeb. P καὶ ἔχει scripsi coll. E : τὸ ἔχει P : νὰ ἔχει N μέριμναν Lt : μέριμνα P (cf. μέριμναν E) : ὄνειρον N N 479 συγγενή P : συγγενήν (-γκ- a.c.) N πλάσμα P (cf. E) : πράγμα N N 480 αἰστητῶς Lt coll. E (etiam cf. ἐστιτὰ V) : αἰσθητῶς P : ἐστηκὸς N N 481 λέγει] εἶπε P λίβεστρε N δέσποτα om. P N 482 γῆς] τῆς P ἀφηγήσον με P N 484 ὀνείρου P συγγενή P N 485 ἦλθα Lt coll. E (etiam cf. ἤλθα V) : ἦλθον P : ἦλθεν N τὸ om. P N 486 εἶπε P τὴν συντυχαίνεις P : τῆς συντεχένης N N 487 ἄκουσον P ὁ ante καιρὸς add. P P 345–47 praeb. P (etiam cf. Ε V, qui vel similia vel diversa praeb.) : om. N P 346 κοσμοαρχηγόν scripsi : κόσμου ἀρχηγόν P N 488 σιώπησαι P τίποτε] λύβιστρε P N 489 προεῖπε P N 490 ἰδικούς P

| θλίβεις, λυπεῖς, στενοχωρεῖς, πικραίνεις, θανατώνεις,     |       |
|---|-------|
| ἂν εἶσαι εἰς ἀναζήτησιν τῆς κόρης τῆς Ροδάμνης∙           |       |
| άλλὰ τὴν κόρην κέρδαισε τὴν σὲ ὑποσχέθην ὁ Ἔρως           |       |
| καὶ πάλιν τοὺς ἐλύπησες νὰ τοὺς παρηγορήσης."             |       |
| Ήρξατο, φίλε, ὁ συγγενὴς ἐκεῖνος ὁ δικός μου              | N 495 |
| ώς διὰ παρηγορίαν μου καὶ δι' ἀνακουφισμόν μου            |       |
| λόγους νὰ ἐμπάζη ἐρωτικοὺς καὶ εἰς ἄλλα νὰ μὲ θέτη,       |       |
| {καὶ} ἔμνοστα λόγια νὰ λαλῆ, μὴ νὰ μὲ μεταφέρη,           | N 498 |
| καὶ ἐκτότε ὁ νοῦς μου ἐνοιάζετον εἰς ὃν εἶχεν ἡ ψυχή μου· | P 359 |
| καὶ ἦτον ἀπλῶς τὸ διάστημα ἐκείνης τῆς ἡμέρας             | P 360 |
| <μὲ ἐμέναν διὰ τὴν θλίψιν μου νὰ μὲ παρηγορήση.>          |       |
| Παρηλθεν καὶ τὸ διάστημαν της ὅλης της ἡμέρας,            | N 499 |
| ἦλθεν ἡ νύκτα, ἐσκότασεν, ἐφάνη τὸ φεγγάριν,              | N 500 |
| ό συγγενής μου ἐμίσσευσεν τὸν εἶχα μετὰ μέναν·            |       |
| έδείπνησα μὲ τοὺς ἐμούς, ἐσύντυχα ὑποθέσεις,              | N 502 |
| {καὶ} ἔπεσα εἰς ὕπνον, φίλε μου, καὶ ἄκουσον πάλιν πλάσμα | P 364 |
| τὸ ἔπλασεν Ἔρως διὰ ἐμὲν καὶ τὸ ἔπλεξεν ἡ νύκτα.          | N 503 |

#### < "Ονειρον πάλιν δεύτερον Λιβίστρου πολυπόνου.>

'Εφάνη με είς παράξενον έσέβην περιβόλιν, είς μυριοδενδροφύτευτον, {είς} όλον έξηνθισμένον, N 505 τὸ ἐκόσμησεν Ἐρως βασιλεὺς καὶ ἐλάμπρυνεν ὁ Πόθος καὶ ἡ ᾿Αγάπη ἐκατεκάλλυνεν μὲ τὰ παράξενά της٠ έπεριεπάτουν, ἔβλεπα τὸ τέτοιον περιβόλιν, **ἔτρεχα εἰς τοῦτο τὸ δενδρόν, ἠκούμπιζα εἰς ἐκεῖνον,** ἔπεπτα είς τοῦτο καὶ είς αὐτό, μετέτρεχα είς ἐκεῖνον, N 510 καὶ ἔκοπτα ἐκ τοῦτο τὸν καρπόν, ἄνθος ἀπέκει ἐτρύγουν, N 511 καὶ ἀπλῶς τὸ ποῖον οὐδὲν εἶχον τὸ πρῶτον νὰ χωρίσω. P 374 έδῶ ὁποῦ βρύσεις καὶ νερά, νερῶν ἐκεῖ φισκῖναι, έδῶ Χαρίτων σύναξις, ἐκεῖ χορὸς Ἐρώτων P 376 μέτρον οὐκ εἶχαν τὰ καλὰ τὰ εἶχεν τὸ περιβόλιν. N 512 Έφάνη με ήτον καὶ πολὺν τὸ ἐρωτοπεριβόλιν, καὶ ἐδῶ ἀνατρέχω νὰ τὸ ἰδῶ καὶ ἐκεῖ νὰ τὸ γυρεύσω,

N 492 καλλὰ καὶ εἰς ἀναζήτησιν κινήσεις τῆς ὁραίας P N 493 κέρδαισε τὴν] κέρδησον ἢν P ὑπεσχέθην P N 494 οῦς ἐλύπησας P N 495 ἤρξατο P : ἤρξα N καὶ ante ἤρξατο add. P φίλε—δικός μου] ὁ συγγενὴς φίλε μου ὁ ἱδικός μου P N 496 post N 498 praeb. P διὰ . . . δι' om. P N 497 ἐμπάζη] βάνη P καὶ om. P εἰς P (cf. E): om. N N 498 καὶ λόγους μετὰ στεναγμῶν ἤρξατο νὰ με λέγει P καὶ seclusi coll. P P 359 praeb. P E V : om. N ἐκτότε scripsi coll. V : τότε P ἐνοιάζετον scripsi coll. E V : ἐβιάζετον P P 360 praeb. P E : om. N P 360 post hunc versum lacunam unius versus suspicor in N P, quam e.g. in E 610 nisus supplevi N 499 om. P N 500 νύκτα] νὺξ P ἐσκότησεν P N 501 δν ἦχον P N 502 συνέτυχα P P 364 praeb. P E V : om. N καὶ seclusi coll. E V N 503 ὁ ἔρως δι' ἐμὲ P καὶ om. P νύκτα] νὺξ P N 503 post hunc versum rubricam unius excidisse versus videtur in N (et E), quam e.g. in N 692 πίσμε supplevi : ὁνείρωτος ἀφήγησις λυβίστρου πολυπόνου P (etiam cf. καὶ πάλιν ἄλλον ὄνιρον τον λίβιστρον ἐφάνην V) N 504-P 374 minio exarati in P N 504 με] μου P N 505 εἰς secl. ἐξανθησμένον P N 506 ἐκόσμει P βασιλεὺς P : βασιλεὺ N ὁ πόθος P (cf. E) : ἐδέτζο N N 507 καὶ om. P κατεκάλλυνεν P μὲ τὰ dist. Ma : μετὰ N P παράξενός N N 508 ἔβλεπον P N 509 ἡκούμπιζα] καὶ ἐγκούπιζα P N 510 ἔπιπτα ἐκ τούτου P καὶ ἐμετέτρεχον P N 511 ἔκοπτα om. N τούτου P ἄνθη ἐκείνου P P 374-75 praeb. P E : om. N P 375 ὁποῦ] ἦσαν coni. Ma νερῶν scripsi coll. νερῶν E : φυτῶν P φυκεῖναι P, corr. Ma P 376 praeb. P E V : om. N N 512 μέτρον Wa : μέτραν N : μέτρος P εἶχαν] εἶχεν P N 513 om. P N 514 καὶ . . . καὶ om. P ἀναγυρεύω P

#### 146 NARRATIVE PRESENTATION IN *LIVISTROS AND RHODAMNE*

| συναπαντῶ τὸν Ἐρωταν, πλὴν τὸ μικρὸν τὸ βρέφος,         | N 515 |
|---|-------|
| έκεῖνον ὁποῦ ἐκαθέζετον μετὰ προσώπων δύο·              |       |
| είχεν είς ὤμους του πτερά, καὶ είς τὸ ἕναν του τὸ χέριν |       |
| είχεν δοξάριν άργυρὸν καὶ εἰς τὸ ἄλλον του τὴν κόρην,   |       |
| τὴν κόρην τὴν παράξενην ἐκείνην τὴν Ροδάμνην.           |       |
| Είχεν τὴν κόρην—βάσταζε, πολύπονε καρδία,               | N 520 |
| μὴ τώρα πάθης καὶ ραγῆς καὶ νεκρωθῆς ἐκ πόνου·          |       |
| είχεν τὴν κόρην—βάσταζε, ψυχή, μὴ ραθυμήσης·            |       |
| είχεν τὴν κόρην—πρόσεχε, ψυχή, μὴ ἀναιστητήσης·         |       |
| είχεν τὴν κόρην—λογισμέ, μὴ φύγης ἀπὸ ἐμέναν·           |       |
| εἶχεν τὴν κόρην—τῆς ἐμῆς ἀνάπαυσιν καρδίας·             | N 525 |
| είχεν τὴν κόρην—φίλε μου, τὸ φῶς τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν μου·      |       |
| είχεν τὴν κόρην—πῶς νὰ εἰπῶ, βάστα, ψυχή, μὴ ἐξέβης.    |       |
| Συναπαντῶ τὸν Ἐρωταν, τὸν γέροντα, τὸ βρέφος,           |       |
| τὸ βρέφος τὸ παράδοξον τῆς μέσης ἡλικίας,               | N 529 |
| έκεῖνον ὁποῦ ἐκαθέζετον μετὰ προσώπων δύο.              | P 390 |
| Έναν πρᾶγμα μὲ ἔλαθεν, φίλε μου, παροπίσω,              |       |
| τὸ νὰ σὲ ἀφηγήσωμαι καὶ πάλιν ἐνθύμισέ το,              |       |
| καὶ ἀφοῦ πληρώσω τὸ ὄνειρον, νὰ σὲ εἴπω τὸ τί εἶδον.    | P 393 |
| Συναπαντῶ τὸν Ἐρωτα, τὸν Ἐρων καὶ τὴν κόρην,            | N 530 |
| τὴν κόρην καὶ τὸν Ἐρωταν τοῦ νὰ χειροκρατοῦνται·        |       |
| συναπαντά με, βλέπει με πρώτον αὐτὸς ἐμέναν,            |       |
| βλέπει με πρῶτον, κράζει με: "Λίβιστρε, σίμωσέ με."     |       |
| Βλέπω, γνωρίζω τίς ἔνι, σιμώνω, προσκυνῶ τον·           |       |
| "Στά, μὴ φοβῆσαι," λέγει με, "φέρε τὰ λογικά σου."      | N 535 |
| Στήκω, θωρῶ τὸν Ἐρωταν, τὴν κόρην ἐντρανίζω,            |       |
| ἐπρόσεχα τὸν Ἐρωταν, ἐθαύμαζα τὴν κόρην,                |       |
| ἔλεγα μόνος κατὰ νοῦν: "Έρως καὶ ἐτοῦτος ἔνι,           |       |
| καὶ μόνος μεταπλάττεται καὶ γίνεται γυναῖκα.            | N 539 |
| Καὶ πάλιν δίχα τὸ πτερόν· ποῦ κρύβει τὸ πτερόν του;     | P 404 |
| Αν δὲ γυναῖκα, πίστευσον, τὸ πρόσωπον τῆς κόρης         | P 405 |
| νικα την πλάσιν Έρωτος είς την εύαρμοστίαν·             |       |
| γυναῖκα {καὶ} τοῦτο καὶ λοιπὸν Ἐρωτος ἔνι μήτηρ,        |       |
| πλάσις αὐτῆς πρὸς Ἐρωταν ἀνάλογος ὑπάρχει·              |       |
| νὰ ζῆ καὶ<ή> μήτηρ Ἐρωτος, τὴν λέγουν Ἀφροδίτην,        |       |
| καὶ νὰ συντρέχη μετ' αὐτόν, φαίνεται νέον καὶ πάλιν·    | P 410 |
| τοῦτο τὸ πρᾶγμα τὸ θεωρῶ καὶ κοράσιν <τὸ> βλέπω,        |       |
|   |       |

N 515 ἔρωτα P N 516 ἐκεῖνος P N 517 τοὺς ὅμους P του P (cf. E) : τὰ N τὸ χέριν] τὴν χεῖραν P N 518 δοξάριον ἀργυροῦν P κόρην] χειόρην a.c. P N 519 παράξενον P N 520 εἶχεν] εἶπε P N 521 πόνων P N 522-23 εἶπεν τὴν κόρην βάσταζε ψυχῆ μὴ ἀναισθήτει P N 524 ἀπ' ἐμέναν P N 525 τὴν κόρην om. P N 526-27 om. P N 528 συναπαντῶ τὸν γέροντα τὸν ἔρωτα τοῦ βρέφους P N 529 om. P P 390-93 praeb. P E : om. N P 393 τὸ¹ scripsi : τὸν P N 530 συναπαντῶ P (cf. E) : συναπαντὰ P τὸν Ἔρων καὶ τὴν κόρην] τὸν ἔρωτα τῆς κόρης P P N 531 καὶ] μὲ P τοῦ νὰ χειροκρατοῦνται] καὶ ἐχειροκρατούσαν P P N 532 βλέπει] λέγει P πρῶτον P (cf. P) : πρῶτος P P N 533 πρῶτον P (cf. P) : τόσον P λύβεστρε P P 100 P N 534 om. P N 535 στά P Ch. P 101 P N 536 στήκω P N 536 στήκω P N 537 ἐπρόσεχα P : πρῶτος P N 536 post hunc versum βλέπω τὸ τί ἀνάπλασεν ἡ φύσις ὡς καλίαν add. P N 537 ἐπρόσεχα P : πρόσεχα P εκαὶ ante τὴν add. P N 538 ἐτοῦτος P N 539 μεταπλάνεται P P 404-13 praeb. P P (cf. P) in P 407 καὶ seclusi μήτηρ scripsi (cf. μῆρ P) : μῦρα P P 408 αὐτῆς scripsi : αὐτῆ P P 409 ἡ supplevi coll. P μήτηρ scripsi (cf. μῆρ P) : μῦρα P P 411 τὸ addidi (cf. τὴν κόρην P)

| ώς φαίνεται ἐκ τοῦ πράγματος, ἄγαμον δίχα λόγου."       |       |
|---|-------|
| Καὶ <μὲ> τοὺς τόσους λογισμοὺς τοὺς ἐλογομαχόμην,       | P 413 |
| ὁ Ἐρως μὲ λέγει: "Λίβιστρε, βλέπεις τὴν κόρην τούτην;   | N 540 |
| Τὴν βλέπεις καὶ ξενίζεσαι καὶ τὴν πολλὰ θαυμάζεις,      |       |
| ἔνι ἡ Ροδάμνη τοῦ Χρυσοῦ θυγάτηρ βασιλέως,              |       |
| τὴν σὲ ὑποσχέθην, Λίβιστρε, τὴν σὲ εἶπα νὰ σοῦ δώσω·    |       |
| καὶ νά την, ἔπαρ' την <λοιπόν>, νά την, χαρίζω σοῦ την, |       |
| ἄπλωσε χέριν, κράτησε τὴν κόρην ἀπὲ τώρα,               | N 545 |
| καὶ ζῆσε χρόνους μετ' αὐτὴν καὶ συναπόθανέ την          |       |
| καὶ σὸν τράχηλον ἄκλιτον κλίνε πρὸς ἔρωτάν της."        |       |
| Ήκουσα λόγους Έρωτος, ἁπλώνω μου τὸ χέριν,              |       |
| Έρως της κόρης δίδει με τὸ χέριν μετὰ θάρρους·          |       |
| καταφιλῶ τὸν Ἐρωταν, ὁρμῶ καὶ πρὸς τὴν κόρην,           | N 550 |
| καὶ ἀπὸ τὴν τόσην ἡδονὴν ἔξυπνος ἐγενόμην,              |       |
| ἔξυπνος μετὰ θάνατον, μετὰ πολλῆς πικρίας,              |       |
| ἔξυπνος νὰ ἔχω στεναγμοὺς καὶ ὀδύνας ἀμετρήτους,        |       |
| ἔξυπνος νὰ φλογίζωμαι, νὰ κόπτωμαι ἐκ τοὺς πόνους.      |       |
| Τὸν κῆπον ἀνεγύρευα, τὸν Ἐρωταν, τὴν κόρην,             | N 555 |
| τὰ δένδρη καὶ τὰς χάριτας τὰς ἔβλεπα εἰς τὸν κῆπον·     |       |
| κατεκοπτόμην, ἔπασχα, τὴν νύκταν ἐπεθύμουν,             |       |
| τὸ ὄνειρον ἀρέγετον ὁ νοῦς μου νὰ τὸ βλέπη,             |       |
| τὸ φῶς ἐπαρατούμην το, ἐμίσουν τὴν ἡμέραν,              |       |
| τὴν νύκταν εἶχα δέσποιναν, αὐγὴν νὰ μὴ ἐντρανίζω.       | N 560 |

P 412 post hunc versum aliqua excidisse videtur, de quibus cf. E 659–61 P 413 μè supplevi ex E έλογομαχόμην scripsi coll. E: έλογομάχουν P N 540 ό om. P λύβεστρε N ταύτην P N 541 τὴν¹] ἄν P N 542 αὕτη ante ἕνι add. P ἔνι P: ανει N τοῦ ... θυγάτηρ om. P τοῦ ante βασιλέως add. P N 543 ἐπεσχέθην P ἐγὼ ante Λίβιστρε add. P τὴν—δώσω] ἵνα κερδήσης P N 544 lacunam in N e.g. supplevi: ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν P νά την χαρίζω σοῦ την] χαρίζω σέ την νά την P N 545 κράτησον P N 547 σὸν τράχηλον P: σὼν τραχείλων N: an σοῦ τραχήλου τὸ ἄκλιτον? (cf. σοῦ τράχιλον ἄκηλτες E) N 549 τῆς κόρης ... τὸ χέριν] τὸ χαίρειν ... τῆς κόρης P N 550 ἔρωτα P N 551 τόσην] τοσαύτην P N 552 hunc versum hic praeb. N E V: post N 554 transp. P P μετὰ¹] με τὸν P μετὰ πολλῆς πικρίας] με τὴν πολλὴν πικρίαν P N 554 κόπτομαι P: κόπτω N ἐκP εἰς P N 555 ἀνεγύρευσα P ἔρωτα P N 556 δένδρα P τὰς ἔβλεπαP N 557 καὶ ἐκατεκοπτόμην καὶ ἔπασχον μετ' ἐκείνων P inter νύκταν et ἐπεθύμουν lacunam statuit P Ch. P είς P καταικοπτώμην ἔπασχα τὴνίκταν ἐπεθίμουν P P 558 om. P P βλέπη scripsi coll. P P P P P επαρατούμην scripsi : ἐπαρατέωμου P P ἐπαρατίθετο P : ἐπαρατούμου coni. Wa P P 600 αὐγὴν P (cf. P P P P P P εντρανίζει P : τρανίζει P P τρανίζει P P τρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P P P εντρανίζει P P P εντρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P P εντρανίζει P